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#### Abstract

The aims of this paper are answering several conjectures and questions about multiplier spectrum of rational maps and giving new proofs of several rigidity theorems in complex dynamics, by combining tools from complex and non-archimedean dynamics.

A remarkable theorem due to McMullen asserts that aside from the flexible Lattès family, the multiplier spectrum of periodic points determines the conjugacy class of rational maps up to finitely many choices. The proof relies on Thurston's rigidity theorem for postcritically finite maps, in where Teichmüller theory is an essential tool. We will give a new proof of McMullen's theorem (hence a new proof of Thurston's theorem) without using quasiconformal maps or Teichmüller theory.

We show that aside from the flexible Lattès family, the length spectrum of periodic points determines the conjugacy class of rational maps up to finitely many choices. This generalize the aforementioned McMullen's theorem. We will also prove a rigidity theorem for marked length spectrum. Similar ideas also yield a simple proof of a rigidity theorem due to Zdunik.

We show that a rational map is exceptional if and only if one of the following holds (i) the multipliers of periodic points are contained in the integer ring of an imaginary quadratic field; (ii) all but finitely many periodic points have the same Lyapunov exponent. This solves two conjectures of Milnor.
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## 1. Introduction

1.1. Exceptional endomorphisms. Let $f: \mathbb{P}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ be an endomorphism over $\mathbb{C}$ of degree at least 2 . It is called Lattès if it semi-conjugates to an endomorphism on an elliptic curve. Further it is called flexible Lattès if it semi-conjugates to the multiplication by an integer $n$ on an elliptic curve for some $|n| \geq 2$. We say that $f$ is of monomial type if it semi-conjugates to the map $z \mapsto z^{n}$ on $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ for some $|n| \geq 2$. We call $f$ exceptional if it is Lattès or of monomial type. An endomorphism $f$ is exeptional if and only if some iterate $f^{n}$ is exceptional. Exceptional endomorphisms are considered as the exceptional examples in complex dynamics.

In this paper we will prove a criterion for an endomorphism being exceptional via the information of a homoclinic orbit of $f$. See Theorem 2.11 for the precise statement and see Section 2 for the definition and basic properties of homoclinic orbits. Since every $f$ has plenty of homoclinic orbits, the above criterion turns out to be very useful. A direct consequence is the following characterization of exceptional endomorphisms by the linearity of a conformal expending repeller (CER).

Theorem 1.1. Let $f: \mathbb{P}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ be an endomorphism over $\mathbb{C}$. Assume that $f$ has a linear CER which is not a finite set, then $f$ is exceptional.

CER is an impotent concept in complex dynamics introduced by Sullivan [Sul86]. See Section 7.1 for its definition and basic properties.
1.2. Rigidity of stable algebraic families. For $d \geq 1$, let $\operatorname{Rat}_{d}(\mathbb{C})$ be the space of degree $d$ endomorphisms on $\mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C})$. It is a smooth quasiprojective variety of dimension $2 d+1$ [Sil12]. Let $F L_{d}(\mathbb{C}) \subseteq \operatorname{Rat}_{d}(\mathbb{C})$ be the locus of flexible Lattès maps, which is Zariski closed in $\operatorname{Rat}_{d}(\mathbb{C})$. The group $\mathrm{PGL}_{2}(\mathbb{C})=\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C})\right)$ acts on $\operatorname{Rat}_{d}(\mathbb{C})$ by conjugacy. The geometric quotient

$$
\mathcal{M}_{d}(\mathbb{C}):=\operatorname{Rat}_{d}(\mathbb{C}) / \mathrm{PGL}_{2}(\mathbb{C})
$$

is the (coarse) moduli space of endomorphisms of degree $d$ [Sil12]. The moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{d}(\mathbb{C})=\operatorname{Spec}\left(\mathcal{O}\left(\operatorname{Rat}_{d}(\mathbb{C})\right)\right)^{\mathrm{PGL}_{2}(\mathbb{C})}$ is an affine variety of dimension $2 d-2[$ Sil07, Theorem $4.36(\mathrm{c})]$. Let $\Psi: \operatorname{Rat}_{d}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{d}(\mathbb{C})$ be the quotient morphism.

An irreducible algebraic family $f_{\Lambda}$ (of degree $d$ endomorphisms) is an algebraic endomorphism $f_{\Lambda}: \mathbb{P}_{\Lambda}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\Lambda}^{1}$ over an irreducible variety $\Lambda$, such that for every $t \in \Lambda(\mathbb{C})$, the restriction $f_{t}$ of $f_{\Lambda}$ above $t$ has degree $d$. Giving an algebraic family over $\Lambda$ is the same as giving an algebraic morphism $\Lambda \rightarrow \operatorname{Rat}_{d}$. A family $f_{\Lambda}$ is called isotrivial if $\Psi(\Lambda)$ is a single point.

For every $f \in \operatorname{Rat}_{d}(\mathbb{C})$ and $n \geq 1, f^{n}$ has exactly $N_{n}:=d^{n}+1$ fixed points counted with multiplicity. Their multipliers define a point $s_{n}(f) \in \mathbb{C}^{N_{n}} / S_{N_{n}}{ }^{1}$, where $S_{N_{n}}$ is the symmetric group which acts on $\mathbb{C}^{N_{n}}$ by permuting the coordinates. The multiplier spectrum of $f$ is the sequence $s_{n}(f), n \geq 1$. An irreducible algebraic family is called stable if the multiplier spectrum of $f_{t}$ does not depend on $t \in \Lambda(\mathbb{C}) .{ }^{2}$

In 1987, McMullen [McM87] established the following remarkable characterization of stable irreducible algebraic families:

Theorem 1.2 (McMullen). Let $f_{\Lambda}$ be a non-isotrivial stable irreducible algebraic family of degree $d \geq 2$, then $f_{t} \in F L(\mathbb{C})$ for every $t \in \Lambda(\mathbb{C})$.

McMullen's proof relies on the following Thurston's rigidity theorem for post-critically finite (PCF) maps [DH93], in where Teichmüller theory is essentially used. An endomorphism $f$ of degree $d \geq 2$ is called PCF if the critical orbits of $f$ is a finite set.

Theorem 1.3 (Thurston). Let $f_{\Lambda}$ be a non-isotrivial irreducible algebraic family of PCF maps, then $f_{t} \in F L(\mathbb{C})$ for every $t \in \Lambda(\mathbb{C})$.

In this paper, we will give a new proof of McMullen's theorem without using quasiconformal maps or Teichmüller theory. Since an irreducible algebraic family of PCF maps is automatically stable, this leads to a new proof of Theorem 1.3 without using quasiconformal maps or Teichmüller theory. Except Theorem 2.11 whose proof relies on some basic complex analysis, our proof of Theorem 1.2 only requires some basic knowledges in Berkovich dynamics and hyperbolic dynamics. We explain our strategy of the proof as follows:

Cutting by hypersurfaces, one may reduce to the case that $\Lambda$ is a smooth affine curve. Let $W$ be the smooth projective compactification of $\Lambda$ and let $B:=W \backslash \Lambda$. For every $o \in B$, our family induces a non-archimedean dynamical system on the Berkovich projective line (see Section 4 for details), which encodes the asymptotic behavior of $f_{t}$ when $t \rightarrow o$. Since $f_{\Lambda}$ is non-isotrivial and stable, via the study of

[^1]non-archimedian dynamics, we show that there is one point $o \in B$ such that when $t \rightarrow o, f_{t}$ "degenerates" to a map taking form $z \mapsto z^{m}$ in a suitable coordinate, where $2 \leq m \leq d-1$. The above degeneration $z \mapsto z^{m}$ is called a rescaling limit of $f_{\Lambda}$ at $o$, in the sense of Kiwi [Kiw15], see Definition 5.4. On the central fiber, it is easy to find a homoclinic orbit satisfying the condition in our exceptional criterion Theorem 2.11. Using an argument in hyperbolic dynamics [Jon98] (see Lemma 6.1), we can deform such homoclinic orbit to nearby fibers preserving the required condition. By Theorem 2.11, $f_{t}$ is exceptional for all $t$ sufficiently close to $o$. We conclude the proof by the fact that exceptional endomorphisms that are not flexible Lattès are isolated in the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{d}(\mathbb{C})$.
1.3. Length spectrum as moduli. According to the noetheriality of the Zariski topology on $\operatorname{Rat}_{d}$, McMullen's rigidity theorem can be reformulated as follows:

Theorem 1.4 (Multiplier spectrum as moduli=Theorem 1.2). Aside from the flexible Lattès family, the multiplier spectrum determines the conjugacy class of endomorphisms in $\operatorname{Rat}_{d}(\mathbb{C}), d \geq 2$, up to finitely many choices.

Replace the multipliers by its norm in the definition of multiplier spectrum, one get the definition of the length spectrum. More precisely, for every $f \in \operatorname{Rat}_{d}(\mathbb{C})$ and $n \geq 1$, we denote by $L_{n}(f) \in \mathbb{R}^{N_{n}} / S_{N_{n}}$ the element corresponding to the multiset $\left\{\left|\lambda_{1}\right|, \ldots,\left|\lambda_{N_{n}}\right|\right\}$, where $\lambda_{i}, i=$ $1, \ldots, N_{n}$ are the multipliers of all $f^{n}$-fixed points. The length spectrum of $f$ is defined to be the sequence $L_{n}(f), n \geq 1$. A priori, the length spectrum contains less information than the multiplier spectrum. But in this paper we will show that it determines the conjugacy class up to finitely many choices, hence generalize Theorem 1.4.

Theorem 1.5 (Length spectrum as moduli). Aside from the flexible Lattès family, the length spectrum determines the conjugacy class of endomorphisms in $\operatorname{Rat}_{d}(\mathbb{C}), d \geq 2$, up to finitely many choices.
1.3.1. Strategy of the proof of Theorem 1.5: Let $g \in \operatorname{Rat}_{d}(\mathbb{C}) \backslash F L_{d}(\mathbb{C})$. We need to show that the image of

$$
Z:=\left\{f \in \operatorname{Rat}_{d}(\mathbb{C}) \backslash F L_{d}(\mathbb{C}) \mid L(f)=L(g)\right\}
$$

in $\mathcal{M}_{d}(\mathbb{C})$ is finite. For $n \geq 0$, set

$$
Z_{n}:=\left\{f \in \operatorname{Rat}_{d}(\mathbb{C}) \backslash F L_{d}(\mathbb{C}) \mid L_{i}(f)=L_{i}(g), i=1, \ldots, n\right\}
$$

It is clear that $Z_{i}, i \geq 1$ is a decreasing sequence of closed subsets of $\operatorname{Rat}_{d}(\mathbb{C}) \backslash F L_{d}(\mathbb{C})$ and $Z=\cap_{n \geq 1} Z_{n}$. For simplicity, we assume that
all periodic points of $g$ are repelling. Otherwise, instead of the length spectrum $L(g)$ of all periodic points, we consider the length spectrum $R L(g)$ of all repelling periodic points. Such a change only adds some technical difficulties. To get a contradiction, we assume that $\Psi(Z) \in$ $\mathcal{M}_{d}(\mathbb{C})$ is infinite. Our proof contains two steps.

In Step 1, we show that $Z=Z_{N}$ for some $N \geq 0$. We first look at the analogue of this step for multiplier spectrum. The analogue of $Z_{n}$ is

$$
Z_{n}^{\prime}:=\left\{f \in \operatorname{Rat}_{d}(\mathbb{C}) \backslash F L_{d}(\mathbb{C}) \mid s_{i}(f)=s_{i}(g), i=1, \ldots, n\right\}
$$

which is Zariski closed in $\operatorname{Rat}_{d}(\mathbb{C}) \backslash F L_{d}(\mathbb{C})$. Hence $Z_{n}^{\prime}$ is stable when $n$ large by the noetheriality. This is how Theorem 1.2 implies Theorem 1.4. In the length spectrum case, since the $n$-th length map $L_{n}: \operatorname{Rat}_{d}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N_{n}} / S_{N_{n}}$ takes only real values, it is more natural to view $\operatorname{Rat}_{d}(\mathbb{C})$ as a real algebraic variety by splitting the complex variable to two real variables via $z=x+i y$. If all $Z_{n}, n \geq 1$ are real algebraic, we can still conclude this step by the noetheriality. Unfortunately, this is not true in general (c.f. Theorem 8.10). Since the map $L_{n}^{2}$ sending $f$ to $\left\{\left|\lambda_{1}\right|^{2}, \ldots,\left|\lambda_{N_{n}}\right|^{2}\right\} \in \mathbb{R}^{N_{n}} / S_{N_{n}}$ is semialgebraic, all $Z_{n}, n \geq 1$ are semialgebraic. The problem is that, in general closed semialgebraic sets do not satisfy the descending chain condition. We solve this problem by introducing a class of closed semialgebraic sets called admissible subsets. Roughly speaking, admissible subsets are the closed subsets which are images of algebraic subsets under étale morphisms. See Section 8.2 for its precise definition and basic properties. We show that admissible subsets satisfy the descending chain condition. Under the assumption that all periodic points of $g$ are repelling, we can show that all $Z_{n}$ are admissible. The admissibility is only used to prove Theorem 1.5.

Step 1 implies that $Z=Z_{N}$ is semialgebraic. Since $\Psi(Z)$ is infinite, there is an analytic curve $\gamma \simeq[0,1]$ contained in $Z$ such that $\Psi(\gamma)$ is not a point. Every $t \in \gamma \subseteq \operatorname{Rat}_{d}$ defines an endomorphism $f_{t}$. After shrinking $\gamma$, we may assume that $f_{0}$ is not exceptional.

In Step 2, we show that the multiplier spectrum of $f_{t}$ does not depend on $t \in \gamma$. Once Step 2 is finished, we get a contradiction by Theorem 1.4. Since for every $t \in \gamma, L\left(f_{t}\right)=L(g)$, all periodic points of $f_{t}$ are repelling. For every repelling periodic point $x$ of $f_{0}$, there is a real analytic map $\phi_{x}: \gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C})$ such that for every $t \in \gamma, \phi_{x}(t)$ and $x$ have the same minimal period and the norms of their multipliers are same. Using homoclinic orbits, we may construct a CER $E_{0}$ of $f_{0}$ containing $x$. It is non-linear by Theorem 1.1. By Lemma 6.1, for $t$ sufficiently
small, $E_{0}$ can be deformed to a CER $E_{t}$ of $f_{t}$ containing $\phi_{x}(t)$. Using Sullivan's rigidity theorem [Sul86] (Theorem 7.7), we show that $E_{0}$ and $E_{t}$ are conformally conjugate. In particular, the multipliers of $\phi_{x}(t)$ is a constant for $t$ sufficiently small. Since $\gamma$ is real analytic, the multipliers of $\phi_{x}(t)$ is a constant on $\gamma$. Since $x$ is arbitrary, all $f_{t}, t \in \gamma$ have the same multiplier spectrum. This finishes Step 2.
1.3.2. Further discussion. It is interesting to know whether the uniform version of Theorem 1.5 holds.
Question 1.6. Is there $N \geq 1$ depending only on $d \geq 2$, such that for every $f \in \operatorname{Rat}_{d}(\mathbb{C}) \backslash F L_{d}(\mathbb{C})$,

$$
\# \Psi\left(\left\{g \in \operatorname{Rat}_{d}(\mathbb{C}) \backslash F L_{d}(\mathbb{C}) \mid L_{i}(g)=L_{i}(f), i=1, \ldots, N\right\}\right) \leq N ?
$$

For every $n \geq 0$, we set

$$
R_{n}:=\left\{(f, g) \in\left(\operatorname{Rat}_{d}(\mathbb{C}) \backslash F L_{d}(\mathbb{C})\right)^{2} \mid L_{i}(f)=L_{i}(g), i=1, \ldots, n\right\}
$$

and

$$
R_{n}^{\prime}:=\left\{(f, g) \in\left(\operatorname{Rat}_{d}(\mathbb{C}) \backslash F L_{d}(\mathbb{C})\right)^{2} \mid s_{i}(f)=s_{i}(g), i=1, \ldots, n\right\}
$$

Both of them are decreasing closed subsets of $\left(\operatorname{Rat}_{d}(\mathbb{C}) \backslash F L_{d}(\mathbb{C})\right)^{2}$. Since all $R_{n}^{\prime}$ are algebraic subsets of $\left(\operatorname{Rat}_{d}(\mathbb{C}) \backslash F L_{d}(\mathbb{C})\right)^{2}$, the sequence $R_{n}^{\prime}$ is stable for $n$ large. This implies that Theorem 1.4 for multiplier spectrum is equivalent to its uniform version.

If one can show that the sequence $R_{n}, n \geq 0$ is stable, for example if one can show that $R_{n}$ are admissible, then Question 1.6 has a positive answer. But at the moment, we only know that $R_{n}$ are semialgebraic.
1.4. Marked multiplier and length spectrum. By Theorem 1.5 and 1.4, aside from the flexible Lattès family, the length spectrum (hence the multiplier spectrum) determines the conjugacy class of endomorphisms of degree $d \geq 2$ up to finitely many choices. On the other hand, by [Sil07, Theorem 6.62], the multiplier spectrum $f \mapsto s(f)$ (hence the length spectrum $f \mapsto L(f)$ ) is far from being injective when $d$ large. For this reason, we consider the marked multiplier and length spectrum. We show that both of them are rigid.
Theorem 1.7 (Marked multiplier spectrum rigidity). Let $f$ and $g$ be two endomorphisms of $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ over $\mathbb{C}$ of degree at least 2 such that $f$ is not exceptional. Assume there is a homeomorphism $h: \mathcal{J}(f) \rightarrow \mathcal{J}(g)$ such that $h \circ f=g \circ h$ on $\mathcal{J}(f)$. Then the following two conditions are equivalent.
(i) There is a non-empty open set $\Omega \subset \mathcal{J}(f)$ such that for every periodic point $x \in \Omega$ we have $d f^{n}(x)=d g^{n}(h(x))$, where $n$ is the period of $x$;
(ii) $h$ extends to an automorphism $h: \mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C})$ such that $h \circ f=g \circ h$ on $\mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C})$.
Let $U, V \subset \mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C})$ be two open sets. A homeomorphism $h: U \rightarrow V$ is called conformal if $h$ is holomorphic or antiholomorphic in every connected component of $U$. Note that a conformal map $h$ is holomorphic if and only if $h$ preserves the orientation of $\mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C})$.

Theorem 1.8 (Marked length spectrum rigidity). Let $f$ and $g$ be two endomorphisms of $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ over $\mathbb{C}$ of degree at least 2 such that $f$ is not exceptional. Assume there is a homeomorphism $h: \mathcal{J}(f) \rightarrow \mathcal{J}(g)$ such that $h \circ f=g \circ h$ on $\mathcal{J}(f)$. Then the following two conditions are equivalent.
(i) There is a non-empty open set $\Omega \subset \mathcal{J}(f)$ such that for every periodic point $x \in \Omega$ we have $\left|d f^{n}(x)\right|=\left|d g^{n}(h(x))\right|$, where $n$ is the period of $x$;
(ii) $h$ extends to a conformal map $h: \mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C})$ such that $h \circ f=g \circ h$ on $\mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C})$.

Note that if $h: \Omega \rightarrow h(\Omega)$ is bi-Lipschitz, then it is not hard to show that for $n$-periodic point $x \in \Omega$ we have $\left|d f^{n}(x)\right|=\left|d g^{n}(h(x))\right|$. So the above theorem implies that a locally bi-Lipschitz conjugacy can be improved to a conformal conjugacy on $\mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C})$.

Combining Theorem 1.7 and $\lambda$-Lemma [McM16, Theorem 4.1], we get a second proof of Theorem 1.2. This proof does not use Teichmüller theory, but we need to use quasiconformal maps and the highly nontrivial Sullivan's rigidity theorem, which is a great achievement in thermodynamic formalism.

Remark 1.9. In Theorem 1.8, in general $h$ can not be extended to an automorphism on $\mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C})$. The complex conjugacy $\sigma: z \mapsto \bar{z}$ induces a mark $h:=\left.\sigma\right|_{\mathcal{J}(f)}: \mathcal{J}(f) \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{J}(f)}=\mathcal{J}(\bar{f})$ preserving the length spectrum. In general, some periodic point of $f$ may have non-real multipliers, hence in this case $h$ can not be extended to an automorphism on $\mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C})$.

Remark 1.10. Theorem 1.8 was proved by Przytycki and Urbanski in [PU99, Theorem 1.9] under the assumptions that both $f$ and $g$ are tame and $\Omega=\mathcal{J}(f)$. See [PU99, Definition 1.1] for the precise definition of tameness. In [Ree84, Theorem 2], Rees showed that there are endomorphisms having dense critical orbits, hence not tame.

The study of marked length spectrum rigidity has been investigated in various settings in dynamics and geometry.

In one-dimensional real dynamics, marked multiplier spectrum rigidity was proved for expanding circle maps (see Shub-Sullivan [SS85]), and for some unimodal maps (see Martens-de Melo [MdM99] and LiShen [LS06]).

In the contexts of geodesic flows on Riemannian manifolds with negative curvature, a long-standing conjecture stated by Burns-Katok [BK85] (and probably considered even before) asserted the rigidity of marked length spectrum (for closed geodesics). The surface case was proved by Otal [Ota90] and by Croke [Cro90] independently. A Local version of the Burns-Katok conjecture in any dimension was proved by Guillarmou-Lefeuvre [GL19].

It was also studied in dynamical billiards. We refer the readers to Huang-Kaloshin-Sorrentino [HKS18], Bálint-De Simoi-Kaloshin-Leguil [BDSKL20], De Simoi-Kaloshin-Leguil [DSKL19], and the references therein.

We prove Theorem 1.8 by combining Theorem 1.1 and Sullivan's rigidity theorem [Sul86], see Theorem 7.7. More precisely, let $o$ be a repelling fixed point of $f$. We construct a family $C$ of CERs of $f$ using homoclinic orbits which covers all backward orbits of $o$. By Theorem 1.1, all of them are non-linear. We show that their images under $h$ are CERs of $g$. Applying Sullivan's rigidity theorem, we get conformal conjugacies link the CERs in $C$ to their images. Two CERs in $C$ have "large" intersections, hence those conformal conjugacies can be patched together. Using this, we get a conformal extension of $h$ to some disk intersecting the Julia set of $f$. We can further extend it to a global conformal map on $\mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C})$.

Theorem 1.7 is a simple consequence of Theorem 1.8 and a result of Eremenko-van Strien [EVS11, Theorem 1] about endomorphisms with real multipliers.
1.5. Zdunik's rigidity theorem. The following rigidity theorem was proved by Zdunik [Zdu90].

Theorem 1.11 (Zdunik). Let $f: \mathbb{P}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ be an edomorphism over $\mathbb{C}$ of degree at least 2. Let $\mu$ be the maximal entropy measure and let $\alpha$ be the Hausdorff dimension of $\mu$. Then $\mu$ is absolutely continous with respect to the $\alpha$-dimensinal Hausdorff measure $\Lambda_{\alpha}$ on the Julia set if and only if $f$ is exceptional.

Zdunik's proof is divided into two parts. The first part was proved in her previous work [PUZ89, Theorem 6] with Przytycki and Urbanski. Later, she proved the second part (hence Theorem 1.11) in [Zdu90]. In
this paper we will give a simple proof of the second part using Theorem 1.1.
1.6. Milnor's conjectures on multiplier spectrum. As applications of Theorem 2.11 and Theorem 1.1, we prove two conjectures of Milnor proposed in [Mil06].

Theorem 1.12. Let $f: \mathbb{P}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ be an endomorphism over $\mathbb{C}$ of degree at least 2. Let $K$ be an imaginary quadratic field. Let $O_{K}$ be the ring of integers of $K$. If for every $n \geq 1$ and every n-periodic point $x$ of $f$, $d f^{n}(x) \in O_{K}$, then $f$ is exceptional.

The inverse of Theorem 1.12 is also true by Milnor [Mil06, Corollary 3.9 and Lemma 5.6]. In fact, the original conjecture of Milnor concerns the case $K=\mathbb{Q}$. Since imaginary quadratic fields exist (e.g. $\mathbb{Q}(i))$ and they contain $\mathbb{Q}$, Theorem 1.12 implies Milnor's original conjecture.

Some special cases of Milnor's conjecture for integer multipliers are known before by Huguin:
(i) In [Hug22a], the conjecture was proved for quadratic endomorphisms.
(ii) In [Hug21], the conjecture was proved for unicritical polynomials. In fact, Huguin proved a stronger statement, which only assumes the multipliers are in $\mathbb{Q}($ instead of $\mathbb{Z})$.
Remark 1.13. In the recent preprint [Hug22b], Huguin reproved and strengthened our Theorem 1.12. In his result, the multipliers are only assumed to be contained in an arbitrary number field. Huguin's result relies on an arithmetic equidistribution result for small points proved by Autissier [Aut01] and on a characterization of exceptional maps proved by Zdunik [Zdu14].

The following result confirms another conjecture of Milnor in [Mil06].
Theorem 1.14. Let $f: \mathbb{P}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ be an endomorphism over $\mathbb{C}$ of degree at least 2. Assume there exists $a>0$ such that for every but finitely many periodic point $x, f^{n}(x)=x$, we have $\left|d f^{n}(x)\right|=a^{n}$. Then $f$ is exceptional.

Remark 1.15. Theorem 1.14 can also be deduced by a minor modification of an argument of Zdunik [Zdu14].

Let $x$ be a $n$-periodic point of $f$. The Lyapunov exponent of $x$ is a real number defined by $\frac{1}{n} \log \left|d f^{n}(x)\right|$. We let $\Delta(f)$ be the closure of the Lyapunov exponents of periodic points contained in the Julia set. Combining Theorem 1.14 and results due to Gelfert-Przytycki-RamsRivera Letelier [GPR10], [GPRRL13], we get the following description
of $\Delta(f)$ when $f$ is non-exceptional. A closed interval in $\mathbb{R}$ is called non-trivial if it is not a singleton.
Corollary 1.16. Let $f: \mathbb{P}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ be a non-exceptional endomorphism over $\mathbb{C}$ of degree at least 2 . Then $\Delta(f)$ is a disjoint union of a nontrivial closed interval I and a finite set $E$ (possibly empty). Moreover there are at most 4 periodic points whose Lyapunov exponents are contained in $E$, in particular $|E| \leq 4$.
1.7. Organization of the paper. In Section 2 we prove some basic properties of homoclinic orbits and we prove the fundamental exceptional criterion Theorem 2.11 by using only the information of a homoclinic orbit. In Section 3 we prove Theorem 1.12. In Section 4 we recall some reslts about dynamics on the Berkovich projective line. In Section 5 we study the rescaling limit via the dynamics on the Berkovich projective line. In Section 6 we give a new proof of McMullen's theorem (Theorem 1.2) by studying rescaling limits. In Section 7 we recall some results about CER, and we prove Theorem 1.1, Theorem 1.7, Theorem 1.8, Theorem 1.14 and Corollary 1.16. Moreover we give a new proof of Theorem 1.11 and we give another proof of Theorem 1.2. In Section 8 we prove Theorem 1.5.
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## 2. Homoclinic orbits and applications

For an endomorphism $f$ of $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ of degree at least 2 , we denote by $C(f)$ the set of critical points of $f$ and $P C(f):=\cup_{n \geq 1} f^{n}(C(f))$ the postcritical set. In this section, $\mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C})$ is endowed with the complex topology.

Let $f: \mathbb{P}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ an endomorphism over $\mathbb{C}$ of degree at least 2 . Let $o$ be a repelling fixed point of $f$. A homoclinic orbit ${ }^{3}$ of $f$ at $o$ is a sequence of points $o_{i}, i \geq 0$ satisfying the following properties:

[^2](i) $o_{0}=o, o_{1} \neq o$ and $f\left(o_{i}\right)=o_{i-1}$ for $i \geq 1$;
(ii) $\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} o_{i}=o$.

Be aware that $o_{i}, i \geq 0$ is actually a backward orbit.
The main result of this Section is Theorem 2.11 which is a criterion for an endomorphism $f$ being exceptional via the information of a homoclinic orbit. We will state and prove this theorem in the end of this section.
2.1. Linearization domain and good return times. Define a linearization domain of $o$ to be an open neighborhood $U$ of $o$ such that there is an isomorphism $\phi: U \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ sending $o$ to 0 , which conjugates $\left.f\right|_{U_{0}}: U_{0} \rightarrow U$ to the morphism $z \mapsto \lambda z$ via $\phi$, where $U_{0}=f^{-1}(U) \cap U$ and $\lambda=d f(o)$. We call such $\phi$ a linearization on $U$.

Define $g$ to be the morphism $U \rightarrow U$ sending $z$ to $\phi^{-1}\left(\lambda^{-1} \phi(z)\right)$. It is the unique endomorphism of $U$ satisfiying $f \circ g=\mathrm{id}$.

Remark 2.1. By Koenigs' theorem [Mil11, Theorem 8.2], for every repelling point $o$ there is always a linearization domain $U$. For every $r \in(0,1], \phi^{-1}(\mathbb{D}(0, r))$ is also a linearization domain of $o$. In particular, the linearization domains of $o$ form a neighborhood system of of $o$.

Remark 2.2. Since $g$ is injective, for every $x \in U, f^{-1}(x) \cap U=g(x)$. In particular, if $o_{i} \in U$ for $i \geq l$, then $o_{i}=g^{i-l}\left(o_{l}\right)$ for all $i \geq l$.

The following lemma shows that for every repelling fixed point $o$, there are many homoclinic orbits.

Lemma 2.3. For every integer $m \geq 0$ and for every $a \in f^{-m}(o)$, there is a homoclinic orbit $o_{i}, i \geq 0$ of $o$ such that $o_{m}=a$.

Proof. Let $U$ be a linearization domain of $o$. Since preimages of $a$ are dense in the Julia set, there is $l \geq m$ such that $f^{m-l}(a) \cap U \neq \emptyset$. Pick $o_{l} \in f^{m-l}(a) \cap U$ and for $i=0, \ldots, l$, set $o_{i}:=f^{l-i}\left(o_{l}\right)$. Then $o_{0}=o$ and $o_{m}=a$. For $i \geq l+1$, set $o_{i}:=g^{i-l}\left(o_{l}\right)$. Then $o_{i}, i \geq 0$ is a homoclinic orbit of $o$.

Definition 2.4. Let $U$ be a connected open neighborhood of $o$ such that $U$ is contained in a linearization domain. For $i \geq 0$ let $U_{i}$ be the connected component of $f^{-i}(U)$ containing $o_{i}$. An integer $m \geq 1$ is called a good return time for the homoclinic orbit and $U$ if
(i) $o_{i} \in U$ for every $i \geq m$;
(ii) $U_{m} \subset \subset U$, and and $f^{m}: U_{m} \rightarrow U$ is an isomorphism between $U_{m}$ and $U$.

Remark 2.5. If $U$ itself is a linearization domain and $m$ is a good return time then $i$ is a good return time for all $i \geq m$. Indeed, one has $U_{i}=g^{i-m}\left(U_{m}\right) \subset \subset U$ and $f^{i}: U_{i} \rightarrow U$ can be writen as $f^{m} \circ g^{m-i}$ which is an isomorphism.

Proposition 2.6. The following statements are equivalent:
(i) $o_{i} \notin C(f)$ for every $i \geq 1$;
(ii) there is a linearization domain $U$ and an integer $m \geq 1$ which is a good return time of $U$;
(iii) there is a linearization domain $U$ such that for every connected open neighborhood $V$ of $o, V \subset U$, there is an integer $m \geq 1$ which is a good return time of $V$.
In particular, when o $\notin P C(f)$, (i) (hence (ii) and (iii)) are satisfied.
Proof. We first show (i) is equivalent to (ii). To see (ii) implies (i), let $m$ be a good return time of $U$, then by the definition of good return time $o_{i} \notin C(f)$ for $i=1, \ldots, m$. By Remark 2.5 we conclude that $o_{i} \notin C(f)$ for every $i \geq 1$. To see (i) implies (ii), first choose a linearization domain $U_{0}$. Let $g: U_{0} \rightarrow U_{0}$ be the morphism such that $f \circ g=\mathrm{id}$. Since $\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} o_{i}=o$, there is $l \geq 1$ such that $o_{i} \in U_{0}$ for $i \geq l$. Since $o_{i} \notin C(f)$ for every $i \geq 1$, we have $d\left(f^{l}\right)\left(o_{l}\right) \neq 0$. So there is an open neighborhood $W$ of $o_{l}$ in $U_{0}$ such that $f^{l}(W) \subseteq U_{0}$ and $\left.f^{l}\right|_{W}$ is injective. Pick a linearization domain of $U$ of $o$ contained in $f^{l}(W)$. Set $U_{l}:=f^{-l}(U) \cap W$. Since $g$ is attracting, there is $m \geq l$ such that $g^{m-l}\left(U_{l}\right) \subset \subset U$. We note that $U_{m}:=f^{-m}(U) \cap U=g^{m-l}\left(U_{l}\right)$. Hence $U_{m} \subset \subset U$, and $f^{m}: U_{m} \rightarrow U$ is an isomorphism. This implies (ii).

It is clear that (iii) implies (ii). It remains to show (ii) implies (iii). Let $l \geq 1$ be a good return time of $U$. Let $U_{i}$ (resp. $V_{i}$ ) be the connected component of $f^{-i}(U)$ (resp. $f^{-i}(V)$ ) for $i \geq 0$. We have $U_{l} \subset \subset U$. Since $g$ is attracting, there is $m \geq l$ such that $g^{m-l}\left(U_{l}\right) \subset \subset V$. This implies $m$ is a good return time of $V$.
2.2. Adjoint sequence of periodic points. Let $U$ be a linearization domain and let $m$ be a good return time of $U$. We construct a sequence of periodic points $q_{i}, i \geq m$ as follows: By Remark 2.5, for every $i \geq m$, $\left.f^{i}\right|_{U_{i}}: U_{i} \rightarrow U$ is an isomorphism. Since $U_{i} \subset \subset U$, the morphism $\left(\left.f^{i}\right|_{U_{i}}\right)^{-1}: U \rightarrow U_{i}$ is strictly attracting with respect to the hyperbolic metric on $U$. Hence it has a unique fixed point $q_{i} \in U_{i}$. Such $q_{i}$ is the unique $i$-periodic point of $f$ which is contained in $U_{i}$. Indeed, $i$ is the smallest period of $q_{i}$ and $q_{i}$ is repelling. We call such a sequence an adjoint sequence for the homoclinic orbit $o_{i}, i \geq 0$ with respect to the
linearization domain $U$ and the good return time $m$ (we write ( $U, m$ ) for short). One say that a sequence of points $q_{i}, i \geq 0$ is an adjoint sequence of the homoclinic orbit $o_{i}, i \geq 0$ if $q_{i}, i \geq m$ is an adjoint sequence for $o_{i}, i \geq 0$ with respect to some $(U, m)$. It is clear that for every adjoint sequence $q_{i}, i \geq 0$ of $o_{i}, i \geq 0, \lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} q_{i}=o$. The following lemma shows that the adjoint sequences are unique modulo finite terms.

Lemma 2.7. Let $q_{i}, i \geq 0$ and $q_{i}^{\prime}, i \geq 0$ be two adjoint sequence for $o_{i}, i \geq 0$. Then there is $l \geq 0$ such that $q_{i}=q_{i}^{\prime}$ for all $i \geq l$.

Proof. We only need to prove the case where $q_{i}, i \geq l$ is an adjoint sequence with respect to $(U, l)$ and $q_{i}^{\prime}, i \geq l^{\prime}$ is an adjoint sequence with respect to $\left(U^{\prime}, l^{\prime}\right)$. Since there is a linearization domain $U^{\prime \prime}$ such that $U^{\prime \prime} \subseteq U \cap U^{\prime}$, we may assume that $U^{\prime} \subseteq U$. After replacing $l, l^{\prime}$ by $\max \left\{l, l^{\prime}\right\}$, we may assume that $l=l^{\prime}$. Then for every $i \geq l, U_{i}^{\prime} \subseteq U_{i}$. Then both $q_{i}$ and $q_{i}^{\prime}$ are the unique $i$-periodic point of $f$ in $U_{i}$. So $q_{i}=q_{i}^{\prime}$ for $i \geq l$.
2.3. Poincaré's linearization map. Set $\lambda:=d f(o) \in \mathbb{C}$. Since $o$ is repelling, $|\lambda|>1$. Let $(U, m)$ be the pair of linearization domain and good return time for $o_{i}, i \geq 0$ and let $q_{i}, i \geq 0$ be an adjoint sequence.

A theorem of Poincaré [Mil11, Corollary 8.12] says that there is a morphism $\psi: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C})$ such that $\left.\psi\right|_{\mathbb{D}}$ gives an isomorphism between $\mathbb{D}$ and $U$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\psi(z))=\psi(\lambda z) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $z \in \mathbb{C}$. In particular, $\left.\psi\right|_{\mathbb{D}} ^{-1}: U \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ is a linearization of $f$ on $U$. Such a $\psi$ is called a Poincaré map.

The following criterion for exceptional endomorphisms using the Poincaré map $\psi$ is due to Ritt.

Lemma 2.8. [Rit22] If the Poincaré map $\psi$ is periodic, i.e. there is a $a \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$ such that $\psi(z+a)=\psi(z)$ for every $z \in \mathbb{C}$, then $f$ is exceptional.

Ritt's theorem can be easily generalized as following.
Lemma 2.9. If there is an affine automorphism $h: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $h(0) \neq 0$ and $\psi \circ h=\psi$, then $f$ is exceptional.

Proof. Let $G$ be the group of affine automorphisms $g$ of $\mathbb{C}$ satisfying $\psi \circ g=\psi$. We have $h \in G$. It takes form $h: z \mapsto a z+b, a \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$ and $b=h(0) \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$. For every $z \in \mathbb{C}$, we have

$$
\psi\left(\lambda h\left(\lambda^{-1} z\right)\right)=f \psi\left(h\left(\lambda^{-1} z\right)\right)=f \psi\left(\lambda^{-1} z\right)=\psi(z) .
$$

Hence the automorphism $g: z \mapsto \lambda h\left(\lambda^{-1} z\right)=a z+\lambda b$ is contained in $G$. Then $T:=h^{-1} \circ g: z \mapsto z+a^{-1}(\lambda-1) b$ is contained in $G$. Since
$b \neq 0$ and $|\lambda|>1, T$ is a nontrivial translation. We conclude the proof by Lemma 2.8.

Set $P:=\left.\lambda^{m} \psi\right|_{\mathbb{D}} ^{-1}\left(o_{m}\right)$ and $V:=\lambda^{m}\left(\left.\psi\right|_{\mathbb{D}} ^{-1}\left(U_{m}\right)\right)$. For $i \geq m$, set $Q_{i}:=\left.\psi\right|_{\mathbb{D}} ^{-1}\left(q_{i}\right)$. One has $\psi(V)=U, \psi(P)=o$ and $\left.\psi\right|_{V}: V \rightarrow U$ is an isomorphism. We set $T:=\left.\left(\left.\psi\right|_{V}\right)^{-1} \circ \psi\right|_{\mathbb{D}}: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow V$, then $T$ is an isomorphism. Similar constructions of $T$ appeared already in the works of Ritt [Rit22] and Eremenko-van Strien [EVS11]. We summaries our construction in the following figure.


We have $\psi \circ T=\psi$ and $T(0)=P$. Moreover, by our construction we have for every $i \geq m, V=\lambda^{i}\left(\left.\psi\right|_{\mathbb{D}}\right)^{-1}\left(U_{i}\right)$. In particular $\lambda^{i} Q_{i} \in V$. By (2.1) we have

$$
\psi\left(\lambda^{i} Q_{i}\right)=f^{i}\left(\psi\left(Q_{i}\right)\right)=f^{i}\left(q_{i}\right)=q_{i} .
$$

This implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
T\left(Q_{i}\right)=\lambda^{i} Q_{i} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} q_{i}=o$, we have $\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} Q_{i}=0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \lambda^{i} Q_{i}=P \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.1) we have for every $i \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
d f^{i}(\psi(z)) d \psi(z)=\lambda^{i} d \psi\left(\lambda^{i} z\right) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and by $\psi \circ T=\psi$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \psi(T(z)) T^{\prime}(z)=d \psi(z) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set $z=Q_{i}$. Combine (2.2), (2.4) and (2.5) we get

$$
d f^{i}\left(q_{i}\right) d \psi\left(\lambda^{i} Q_{i}\right) T^{\prime}\left(Q_{i}\right)=\lambda^{i} d \psi\left(\lambda^{i} Q_{i}\right)
$$

Since zeros of a holomorphic function are isolated, as $\lambda^{i} Q_{i} \rightarrow P$, for $i$ large enough we have $d \psi\left(\lambda^{i} Q_{i}\right) \neq 0$. Hence for $i$ large enough,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{i} T^{\prime}\left(Q_{i}\right)^{-1}=d f^{i}\left(q_{i}\right) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following observation will be used in the proof of Theorem 1.12.
Lemma 2.10. Set $\theta:=1 / T^{\prime}: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. We have

$$
\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty}\left(d f^{i}\left(q_{i}\right)-\lambda^{i} \theta(0)\right)=P \theta^{\prime}(0)
$$

Proof. By (2.3) and (2.6), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{i \rightarrow \infty}\left(d f^{i}\left(q_{i}\right)-\lambda^{i} \theta(0)\right) / P=\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty}\left(d f^{i}\left(q_{i}\right)-\lambda^{i} \theta(0)\right) / \lambda^{i} Q_{i} \\
&=\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty}\left(d f^{i}\left(q_{i}\right) / \lambda^{i}-\theta(0)\right) / Q_{i}=\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty}\left(\theta\left(Q_{i}\right)-\theta(0)\right) / Q_{i}=\theta^{\prime}(0),
\end{aligned}
$$

which concludes the proof.
The following is the main result of this section, which characterize exceptional endomorphisms by using the multipliers of adjoint sequence of a homoclinic orbit.

Theorem 2.11. Let $f: \mathbb{P}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ be an endomorphism over $\mathbb{C}$ of degree at least 2. Let o be a repelling fixed point of $f$ such that $d f(o)=\lambda$. Let $o_{i}, i \geq 0$ be a homoclinic orbit of o such that $o_{i} \notin C(f)$ for every $i \geq 0$. Assume that there is $C \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$, such that for one (hence every) adjoint sequence $q_{i}, i \geq 0$ of $o_{i}, i \geq 0, d f^{i}\left(q_{i}\right)=C \lambda^{i}$ for $i$ large. Then $f$ is exceptional.

Proof. We may assume that $q_{i}, i \geq m$ is adjoint with respect to the linearization domain and good return time $(U, m)$ for $o_{i}, i \geq 0$, and $d\left(f^{i}\right)\left(q_{i}\right)=C \lambda^{i}$ for all $i \geq m$. By (2.6), we get $T^{\prime}\left(Q_{i}\right)=C^{-1}$ for $i \geq m$. Since $Q_{i} \neq 0$ for $i \geq m$ and $\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} Q_{i}=0, T^{\prime}=C^{-1}$ on $\mathbb{D}$. It follows that $T(z)=C^{-1} z+P$ for every $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Then $T$ extends to the affine endomorphism on $\mathbb{C}$ sending $z$ to $C^{-1} z+P$. One have $\psi=\psi \circ T$ on $\mathbb{C}$. We conclude the proof by Lemma 2.9.

## 3. Proof of Milnor's conjecture

In this section we prove one of Milnor's conjectures (Theorem 1.12). We postpone the proof of another conjecture of Milnor (Theorem 1.14) to Section 7.

Proof of Theorem 1.12. Let $f: \mathbb{P}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ be an endomorphism over $\mathbb{C}$ of degree at least 2 . Let $K$ an imaginary quadratic field. Assume that for every $n \geq 1$ and every $n$-periodic point $x$ of $f, d f^{n}(x) \in O_{K}$.

After replacing $f$ by a suitable positive iterate, we may assume that $f$ has a repelling fixed point $o \notin P C(f)$. Let $o_{i}, i \geq 0$ be a homoclinic orbit of $o$. By Proposition 2.6, there is a linearization domain and a good return time $(U, m)$ for $o_{i}, i \geq 0$. Let $q_{i}, i \geq m$ be the adjoint sequence for it. Set $\mu_{i}:=d f^{i}\left(q_{i}\right) \in O_{K}$ for $i \geq m$. Set $\lambda:=d f(o)$.

Lemma 3.1. There are $a \in K^{*}, b \in K$ such that $\mu_{i}=a \lambda^{i}+b$ for $i$ large.

Proof of Lemma 3.1. We view $K$ as a subfield of $\mathbb{C}$. Then $O_{K}$ is a discrete subgroup of $(\mathbb{C},+)$. Set $\mathbb{T}:=\mathbb{C} / O_{K}$ and $\pi: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ the quotient map. Since $\lambda \in O_{K}$, the multiplication by $\lambda$ on $L$ descents to an endomorphism $[\lambda]$ on $\mathbb{T}$. By Lemma 2.10, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty}\left(\mu_{i}-a \lambda^{i}\right)=b, \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a=\theta(0)=1 / T^{\prime}(0) \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$ and $b=P \theta^{\prime}(0) \in \mathbb{C}$ (See Section 2 for the definitions of $T$ and $\theta$ ). Since $\mu_{i} \in O_{K}, i \geq m$, we get

$$
\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty}[\lambda]^{i} \pi(a)=\pi(b)
$$

In particular, $\pi(b)$ is fixed by $[\lambda]$. Since $d[\lambda](b)=\lambda,[\lambda]$ is repelling at $\pi(b)$. Hence for $i$ large we must have

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\lambda]^{i} \pi(a)=\pi(b) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $O_{K}$ is discrete in $\mathbb{C}$, by (3.1) and (3.2), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{i}=a \lambda^{i}+b \text { for } i \text { large. } \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

There are $n>l \geq m$ such that $\mu_{n}=a \lambda^{n}+b$ and $\mu_{l}=a \lambda^{l}+b$. This implies that $a, b \in K$.

After enlarging $m$, we may assume that $\mu_{i}=a \lambda^{i}+b$ for all $i \geq m$. Assume by contradiction that $f$ is not exceptional. By Theorem 2.11, we must have $b \neq 0$. For $\mathbf{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} O_{K}$, let $K_{\mathbf{p}}$ be the completion of $K$ with respect to $\mathbf{p}$. Denote by $|\cdot|_{\mathbf{p}}$ the $\mathbf{p}$-adic norm on $K_{\mathbf{p}}$ normalized by $|p|_{\mathbf{p}}=p^{-1}$ where $p:=$ char $O_{K} / \mathbf{p}$. Let $K_{\mathbf{p}}^{\circ}$ be the valuation ring of $K_{\mathbf{p}}$. For $\mu \in O_{K}, \mu \in \mathbf{p}$ if and only if $|\mu|_{\mathbf{p}}<1$.

Lemma 3.2. For $\mathbf{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} O_{K}$ and $\epsilon>0$, if $\lambda \notin \mathbf{p}$, then there is $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that $\left|\lambda^{N i}-1\right|_{\mathbf{p}}<\epsilon$ for all $i \geq 0$.

Proof of Lemma 3.2. Since $O_{K} / \mathbf{p}$ is a finite field and $\lambda \notin \mathbf{p}$, there is $l \geq 1$ such that $\lambda^{l}-1 \in \mathbf{p}$. Since

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda^{l p^{n}}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(1+\left(\lambda^{l}-1\right)\right)^{p^{n}}=1
$$

in the $\mathbf{p}$-adic topology, there is $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, such that $\left|\lambda^{N}-1\right|_{\mathbf{p}}<\epsilon$. Then for every $i \geq 0,\left|\lambda^{N i}-1\right|_{\mathbf{p}}=\left|\lambda^{N}-1\right|_{\mathbf{p}}\left|1+\lambda^{N} \cdots+\lambda^{N(i-1)}\right|_{\mathbf{p}}<\epsilon$.

Let $S$ be the finite set of prime ideals $\mathbf{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} O_{K} \backslash\{0\}$ dividing $\lambda(\operatorname{deg} f)!\in O_{K}$. For every $\mathbf{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} O_{K} \backslash(S \cup\{0\})$, there is an embedding of field $\tau_{K}: K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}_{p}$ such that $|\cdot|_{\mathbf{p}}$ is the restriction of the norm on $\mathbb{C}_{p}$ via this embedding. Recall that $\mathbb{C}_{p}$ is the completion of the algebraic closure of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$. Then $\tau_{K}$ extends to an isomorphism $\tau: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{p}$. Via $\tau$, the norm $|\cdot|_{\mathbf{p}}$ extends to a non-archimedean complete norm on $\mathbb{C}$. By [RL03a, Corollaire 4.7 and Corollaire 4.9] of Rivera-Letelier (or [BIJL14, Corollary 1.6] of Benedetto-Ingram-Jones-Levy), for every $\mathbf{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} O_{K} \backslash(S \cup\{0\})$, there are at most finitely many integers $i \geq m$ satisfying $\left|\mu_{i}\right|_{\mathbf{p}}<1$. We claim that for every $i \geq m$, we have $\mu_{i}=a \lambda^{i}+b \notin \mathbf{p}$ for every $\mathbf{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} O_{K} \backslash(S \cup\{0\})$. In fact if there is $\mathbf{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} O_{K} \backslash(S \cup\{0\})$ such that $a \lambda^{i}+b \in \mathbf{p}$ for some $i \geq m$, by Lemma 3.2 , there is $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, such that for all $j \geq 0,\left|\lambda^{N j}-1\right|_{\mathbf{p}}<\left|a^{-1}\right| / 2$. Then for every $j \geq m$, we get

$$
\left|\mu_{i+N j}\right|_{\mathbf{p}}=\left|a \lambda^{i+N j}+b\right|_{\mathbf{p}} \leq \max \left\{\left|a \lambda^{i}+b\right|_{\mathbf{p}}+\left|a \lambda^{i}\left(\lambda^{N j}-1\right)\right|_{\mathbf{p}}\right\}<1 .
$$

Thus we obtain infinitely many integers $i \geq m$ satisfying $\left|\mu_{i}\right|_{\mathbf{p}}<1$, which is a contradiction.

Set $S^{\prime}:=\{\mathbf{p} \in S \mid \lambda \in \mathbf{p}\}$ and $S^{\prime \prime}=S \backslash S^{\prime}$. Since $a \neq 0$, there is $l \geq 0$ such that $a \lambda^{l}+b \neq 0$. Set

$$
A:=\min \left(\left\{\left|a \lambda^{l}+b\right|_{\mathbf{p}} \mid \mathbf{p} \in S^{\prime \prime}\right\} \cup\left\{|b|_{\mathbf{p}} \mid \mathbf{p} \in S^{\prime}\right\} \cup\{1\}\right)>0 .
$$

For every $\mathbf{p} \in S^{\prime}$, there is an integer $M_{\mathbf{p}} \geq m$ such that $\left|a \lambda^{M_{\mathbf{p}}}\right|_{\mathbf{p}}<$ $|b|_{\mathbf{p}}$. Then, for every $i \geq M_{\mathbf{p}}, \mathbf{p} \in S^{\prime}$, we have

$$
\left|\mu_{i}\right|_{\mathbf{p}}=|b|_{\mathbf{p}} \geq A
$$

For every $\mathbf{p} \in S^{\prime \prime}$, by Lemma 3.2, there is $N_{\mathbf{p}} \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that for every $j \geq 0,\left|\lambda^{N_{\mathbf{p}} j}-1\right|_{\mathbf{p}}<\left|a^{-1}\right|_{\mathbf{p}}\left|a \lambda^{l}+b\right|_{\mathbf{p}}$. Then for all $j \geq m$, we have $\left|\mu_{l+N_{\mathbf{p}} j}\right|_{\mathbf{p}}=\left|a \lambda^{l+N_{\mathbf{p}} j}+b\right|_{\mathbf{p}}=\left|\left(a \lambda^{l}+b\right)+a \lambda^{i}\left(\lambda^{N_{\mathbf{p}} j}-1\right)\right|_{\mathbf{p}}=\left|a \lambda^{l}+b\right|_{\mathbf{p}} \geq A$.

Set $M:=\max \left\{M_{\mathbf{p}} \mid \mathbf{p} \in S^{\prime}\right\}$ and $N:=\prod_{\mathbf{p} \in S^{\prime \prime}} N_{\mathbf{p}}$. For every $i \geq M$, by the above discussion we get $\left|\mu_{l+N i}\right|_{\mathbf{p}} \geq A$ for all $\mathbf{p} \in S$. Fix an embedding of $K$ in $\mathbb{C}$. For every $\mathbf{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} O_{K} \backslash\{0\}$, set $n_{\mathbf{p}}:=\left[K_{\mathbf{p}}: \mathbb{Q}_{p}\right]$
with $p=$ char $O_{K} / \mathbf{p}$. We have $n_{\mathbf{p}} \leq 2$. By product formula, we get, since $\left|\mu_{l+N i}\right|_{\mathbf{p}}=1$ for all $\mathbf{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} O_{K} \backslash(S \cup\{0\})$,

$$
\left|\mu_{l+N i}\right|^{[K: \mathbb{Q}]}=\prod_{\mathbf{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} O_{K} \backslash\{0\}}\left|\mu_{l+N i}\right|_{\mathbf{p}}^{-n_{\mathbf{p}}}=\prod_{\mathbf{p} \in S}\left|\mu_{l+N i}\right|_{\mathbf{p}}^{-n_{\mathbf{p}}} \leq A^{-2|S|},
$$

where $i \geq m$.
Hence $\mu_{l+N i}, i \geq m$ is bounded in $\mathbb{C}$. Since $a \neq 0$ and $|\lambda|>1$, we get a contradiction. The proof is finished.

## 4. The Berkovich projective line

Let $\mathbf{k}$ be a complete valued field with a non-trivial non-archimedean norm $|\cdot|$. We denote by $\mathbf{k}^{\circ}$ the valuation ring of $\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}^{00}$ the maximal ideal of $\mathbf{k}^{\circ}$ and $\tilde{k}=\mathbf{k}^{\circ} / \mathbf{k}^{\circ \circ}$ the residue field.

In this section, we collect some basic facts about Berkovich's analytification of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^{1}$. We refer the readers to [Ber90] for a general discussion on Berkovich space, and to [BR10] for a detailed description of the Berkovich projective line and the dynamics on it.
4.1. Analytification of the projective line. Let $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^{1, \text { an }}$ be the analytification of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^{1}$ in the sense of Berkovich, which is a compact topological space endowed with a structural sheaf of analytic functions. Only its topological structure will be used in this paper. We describe it briefly below.

The analytification $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^{1 \text {,an }}$ of the affine line $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^{1}$ is the space of all multiplicative semi-norms on $\mathbf{k}[z]$ whose restriction to $\mathbf{k}$ coincide with $|\cdot|$, endowed with the topology of pointwise convergence. For any $x \in \mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^{1, \text { an }}$ and $P \in \mathbf{k}[z]$, it is customary to denote $|P(x)|:=|P|_{x}$, where $|\cdot|_{x}$ is the semi-norm associated to $x$.

As a topological space $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^{1, \text { an }}$ is the one-point compactification of $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^{1 \text {,an }}$. We write $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^{1, \text { an }}=\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^{1, \text { an }} \cup\{\infty\}$. More formally it is obtained by gluing two copies of $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^{1, \text { an }}$ in the usual way via the transition map $z \mapsto z^{-1}$ on the punctured affine line $\left(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^{1} \backslash\{0\}\right)^{\text {an }}$.

The Berkovich projective line $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^{1, \text { an }}$ is an $\mathbb{R}$-tree in the sense that it is uniquely path connected, see [Jon15, Section 2] for the precise definitions. In particular for $x, y \in \mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^{1, \text { an }}$, there is a well-defined segment $[x, y]$.

For $a \in \mathbf{k}$ and $r \in[0,+\infty)$, we denote $\mathbb{D}(a, r)$ by the closed disk $\mathbb{D}(a, r):=\left\{x \in \mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^{1, \text { an }}:|(z-a)(x)| \leq r\right\}$. One may check that the norm $\sum_{i \geq 0} a_{i}(z-a)^{i} \mapsto \max \left\{\left|a_{i}\right| r^{i}, i \geq 0\right\}$ defines a point $\xi_{a, r} \in \mathbb{D}(a, r)$. One set $x_{G}:=\xi_{0,1}$ and call it the Gauss point.

Remark 4.1. When $r=0, \xi_{a, 0}$ is exactly the image of $a$ via the identification $\mathbf{k}=\mathbb{A}^{1}(\mathbf{k}) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^{1, \text { an }}$.

The group $\mathrm{PGL}_{2}(\mathbf{k})$ acts on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^{1}$, hence on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^{1, \text { an }}$.
Lemma 4.2. [DF19, Proposition 1.4] For a point $x \in \mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^{1, \text { an }}, x \in$ $\mathrm{PGL}_{2}(\mathbf{k}) \cdot x_{g}$ if and only if it takes form $x=\xi_{a, r}$ for some $a \in \mathbf{k}$ and $r \in\left|\mathbf{k}^{*}\right|$.

Remark 4.3. The stablizer of $\mathrm{PGL}_{2}(\mathbf{k})$ at $x_{g}$ is $\mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbf{k}^{\circ}\right)$ which is open in $\mathrm{PGL}_{2}(\mathbf{k})$. So for any dense subfield $L$ of $\mathbf{k}$, we have $\mathrm{PGL}_{2}(L)$. $x_{g}=\mathrm{PGL}_{2}(\mathbf{k}) \cdot x_{g}$.
4.2. Points in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^{1, \text { an }}$. Let $\widehat{\overline{\mathbf{k}}}$ be the completion of the algebraic closure of $\mathbf{k}$. It is still algebraically closed. By [Ber90, Corollary 1.3.6], $\operatorname{Aut}(\hat{\overline{\mathbf{k}}} / \mathbf{k})$ acts on $\mathbb{P}_{\widehat{\mathbf{k}}}^{1, \text { an }}$ and we have $\mathbb{P}_{\widehat{\widehat{\mathbf{k}}}}^{1} / \operatorname{Aut}(\hat{\overline{\mathbf{k}}} / \mathbf{k})=\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^{1}$. We denote by $\pi: \mathbb{P}_{\widehat{\widehat{\mathbf{k}}}}^{1, \text { an }} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^{1, \text { an }}$ the quotient map. The points of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^{1, \text { an }}$ can be classified into 4 types:
(i) a type 1 point takes form $\pi(a)$ where $a \in \widehat{\overline{\mathbf{k}}} \cup\{\infty\}=\mathbb{P}_{\widehat{\widehat{\mathbf{k}}}}^{1 \text {,an }}$;
(ii) a type 2 point takes form $\pi\left(\xi_{x, r}\right)$ where $x \in \widehat{\overline{\mathbf{k}}}$ and $r \in\left|\widehat{\overline{\mathbf{k}}}^{*}\right|$;
(iii) a type 3 point takes form $\pi\left(\xi_{x, r}\right)$ where $x \in \widehat{\overline{\mathbf{k}}}$ and $r \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \backslash\left|\hat{\overline{\mathbf{k}}}^{*}\right|$;
(iv) a type 4 point takes form $\pi(x)$ where $x$ is the pointwise limit of $\xi_{x_{i}, r_{i}}$ such that the corresponding discs $\mathbb{D}\left(x_{i}, r_{i}\right)$ form a decreasing sequence with empty intersection.
See [Ber90, Section 1.4.4] for further details when $\mathbf{k}$ is algebraically closed. See also [Ked11, Proposition 2.2.7] and [Ste19, Section 2.1]. The set of type 1 (resp. type 2) points is dense in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^{1, \text { an }}$. Points of type 4 exist only when $\mathbf{k}$ is not spherically complete. If we view $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^{1, \text { an }}$ as a metric tree, then the end points have type 1 or 4 .

For every $x \in \mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^{1, \text { an }}$, we can define an equivalence relation on the set $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^{1, \text { an }} \backslash\{x\}$ as follows: $y \sim z$ if the paths $(x, y]$ and $(x, z]$ intersect. The tangent space $T_{x}$ at $x$ is the set of equivalences classes of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^{1, \text { an }} \backslash\{x\}$ modulo $\sim$. See [Jon15, Section 2.5] for details. If $x$ is an end point (a point of type 1 or 4 ), then $\left|T_{x}\right|=1$. If $x$ is of type 3 , then $\left|T_{x}\right|=2$. If $x$ is of type 2 , then $\left|T_{x}\right| \geq 3$. For a direction $v \in T_{x}$, let $U(v)$ be the set of all $y \in \mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^{1, \text { an }}$ such that the path $(x, y]$ presents $v$. Then $U(v)$ is an open subset such that $\partial U(v)=x$.
4.3. Dynamics on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^{1, \text { an }}$. Let $f: \mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^{1}$ be an endomorphism of degree $d \geq 2$. We still denote by $f$ the induced endomorphism on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^{1, \text { an }}$.
4.3.1. The tangent map. For $x, y \in \mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^{1, \text { an }}$, if $f(x)=y$, then $x, y$ have the same type. Moreover, $f$ induces a tangent map $T_{x} f: T_{x} \rightarrow T_{y}$ sending $v \in T_{x}$ to the unique direction $w \in T_{y}$ such that for every $z \in U(v)$, $(y, f(z)] \cap U(w) \neq \emptyset$. We note that, in general $f(U(v))$ may not equal to $U(w)$. If $f(U(v))=U(w)$, we say that $v$ is a good direction. Otherwise, it is called a bad direction. If $v$ a bad direction, then $f(U(v))=\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^{1, \text { an }}$ [Ben, Theorem 7.34].

We may naturally identify $T_{x_{G}}$ with $\mathbb{P}^{1}(\tilde{\mathbf{k}})$ as follows: Consider the standard model $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}^{\circ}}^{1}$ of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^{1, \text { an }}$. There is a reduction map red : $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^{1, \text { an }} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\tilde{\mathbf{k}}}^{1}$. The preimage of the generic point of $\mathbb{P}_{\widetilde{\mathbf{k}}}^{1}$ is the Gauss point $x_{G}$ and for every $y \in \mathbb{P}^{1}(\tilde{\mathbf{k}})$, there is a unique $v_{y} \in T_{x_{G}}$ such that $U\left(v_{y}\right)=$ $\operatorname{red}^{-1}(y)$. The map $\mathbb{P}^{1}(\tilde{\mathbf{k}}) \rightarrow T_{x_{G}}$ sending $y$ to $v_{y}$ is bijective. Let $h$ be any endomorphism of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^{1}$ such that $h\left(x_{G}\right)=x_{G}$, it extends to a rational self-map $h_{\mathbf{k}^{\circ}}$ of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}^{\circ}}^{1}$. We denote by $\tilde{h}: \mathbb{P}_{\tilde{\mathbf{k}}}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\tilde{\mathbf{k}}}^{1}$ the restriction of $h$ to the special fiber of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}^{\circ}}^{1}$ and call it the reduction of $h$. Then $T_{x_{G}} h: T_{x_{G}}={\underset{P}{P}}^{1}(\tilde{\mathbf{k}}) \rightarrow T_{x_{G}}$ is induced by $\tilde{h}$. We define $\operatorname{deg} T_{x_{G}} h$ to be the degree of $\tilde{h}$. We note that $\operatorname{deg} \tilde{h} \leq \operatorname{deg} h$. The equality holds if and only if $h_{\mathbf{k}^{\circ}}$ is an endomorphism. In this case, we say that $h$ has explicit good reduction.

More generally, for every $x, y \in \mathrm{PGL}_{2}(\mathbf{k}) \cdot x_{G}$ with $f(x)=y$, we may define

$$
\operatorname{deg} T_{x} f:=\operatorname{deg} T_{x_{G}}\left(h^{-1} f g\right)=\operatorname{deg} \widetilde{h^{-1} f g}
$$

where $h, g \in \mathrm{PGL}_{2}(\mathbf{k})$ with $g\left(x_{G}\right)=x$ and $h\left(x_{G}\right)=y$. Then $1 \leq$ $\operatorname{deg} T_{x_{G}} f \leq \operatorname{deg} f$ and $\operatorname{deg} T_{x_{G}} f$ does not depend on the choices of $g, h$.

Remark 4.4. Assume that $\mathbf{k}$ is algebraically closed. By Lemma 4.2, the set of type 2 points in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^{1, \text { an }}$ is exactly $\mathrm{PGL}_{2}(\mathbf{k}) \cdot x_{G}$.
4.3.2. Periodic points. Assume that $\mathbf{k}$ is algebraically closed. For $n \geq$ 1 , a $n$-periodic point of $f$ is a point $x \in \mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^{1, \text { an }}$ such that $f^{n}(x)=$ $x$. They can be divided into three types: attracting, indifferent and repelling. A type 1 periodic point $x \in \mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbf{k})$ of period $n \geq 1$ is called attracting if $\left|d\left(f^{n}\right)(x)\right|<1$; indifferent if $\left|d\left(f^{n}\right)(x)\right|=1$; and repelling if $\left|d\left(f^{n}\right)(x)\right|>1$. A $n$-periodic point $x \in \mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^{1, \text { an }}$ of type 2 is called indifferent if $\operatorname{deg} T_{x} f=1$; repelling if $\operatorname{deg} T_{x} f \geq 2$. Every $n$-periodic point $x \in \mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^{1, \text { an }}$ of type 3 or 4 are indifferent [RL03b, Lemma 5.3, 5.4].
4.3.3. Fatou and Julia sets. Assume that $\mathbf{k}$ is algebraically closed.

The Julia set of $f$ is the set $\mathcal{J}(f)$ of points $z \in \mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^{1, \text { an }}$ with the following property: for every neighborhood $U$ of $z$, the union of iterates
$\bigcup_{n \geq 0} f^{n}(U)$ omits only finitely many points of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^{1, \text { an }}$. Its complement $\mathcal{F}(f):=\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^{1, \text { an }} \backslash \mathcal{J}(f)$ is the Fatou set of $f$.

We list some basic properties of the Julia and Fatou sets of $f$.
Proposition 4.5. [Ben, Chapter 8 and Section 12.2]
(i) The Fatou set $\mathcal{F}(f)$ is open and the Julia set $\mathcal{J}(f)$ is closed.
(ii) All attracting periodic points of $f$ are contained in $\mathcal{F}(f)$.
(iii) All repelling periodic points of $f$ are contained in $\mathcal{J}(f)$.
(iv) We have $\mathcal{J}(f)=f(\mathcal{J}(f))=f^{-1}(\mathcal{J}(f))$ and $\mathcal{F}(f)=f(\mathcal{F}(f))=$ $f^{-1}(\mathcal{F}(f))$.
(v) Both $\mathcal{J}(f)$ and $\mathcal{F}(f)$ are nonempty.
(vi) For every $z \in \mathcal{J}(f), \cup_{n \geq 0} f^{-n}(z)$ is dense in $\mathcal{J}(f)$.
(vii) Repelling periodic points are dense in $\mathcal{J}(f)$.
4.3.4. Good reduction. We say $f$ has good reduction if after some coordinate change $h \in \mathrm{PGL}_{2}(\mathbf{k})$, the map $h^{-1} \circ f \circ h$ has explicit good reduction.

Theorem 4.6. [FRL10, Theorem E] The endomorphism $f$ has explicit good reduction if and only if $\mathcal{J}(f)=x_{G}$. Moreover, if $\mathbf{k}$ is algebraically closed, $f$ has good reduction if and only if $\mathcal{J}(f)$ is a single point.

Remark 4.7. Assume that $\mathbf{k}$ is algebraically closed. If $\mathcal{J}(f)$ is a single point, then by Theorem 4.6 and (vii) of Proposition 4.5, it is a type 2 repelling point.

## 5. Rescaling limits of holomorphic families

5.1. Holomorphic families. Recall that $\Psi: \operatorname{Rat}_{d}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{d}(\mathbb{C})$ is the quotient morphism, where $\mathcal{M}_{d}(\mathbb{C}):=\operatorname{Rat}_{d}(\mathbb{C}) / \mathrm{PGL}_{2}(\mathbb{C})$ is the moduli space.

Let $\Lambda$ be a complex manifold, we denote by $\mathcal{O}^{\text {an }}(\Lambda)$ the ring of holomorphic functions on $\Lambda$. Moreover, if $\Lambda$ is complex algebraic variety, we denote by $\mathcal{O}(\Lambda)$ the ring of algebraic functions on $\Lambda$.

A holomorphic (resp. meromorphic) family on $\Lambda$ is an endomorphism (resp. meromorphic self-map) $f_{\Lambda}$ on $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \Lambda$ such that $\pi_{\Lambda} \circ$ $f_{\Lambda}=\pi_{\Lambda}$, where $\pi_{\Lambda}: \mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C}) \times \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$ is the projection to $\Lambda$. More concretely, one may write $f_{\Lambda}([x: y], t)=\left(\left[P_{t}(x, y): Q_{t}(x, y)\right], t\right)$ where $P_{t}(x, y), Q_{t}(x, y)$ are homogenous polynomials of same degree $d$ in $\mathcal{O}^{\text {an }}(\Lambda)[x, y]$ without common divisor. We say that $f_{\Lambda}$ is of degree d. Then $f_{\Lambda}$ is holomorphic if there is no $(t, x, y) \in \Lambda \times \mathbb{C}^{*} \times \mathbb{C}^{*}$ such that $P_{t}(x, y)=Q_{t}(x, y)=0$.

For $t \in \Lambda$, we denote by $f_{t}$ the restriction of $f_{\Lambda}$ to the fiber above $t$. We denote by $I\left(f_{\Lambda}\right)$ the indeterminacy locus of $f_{\Lambda}$ and $B\left(f_{\Lambda}\right):=$
$\pi_{\Lambda}\left(I\left(f_{\Lambda}\right)\right)$. Then $I\left(f_{\Lambda}\right)$ and $B\left(f_{\Lambda}\right)$ are proper closed analytic subspace of $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \Lambda$ and $\Lambda$ respectively. For every $t \in \Lambda \backslash B\left(f_{\Lambda}\right)$, we have $\operatorname{deg} f_{t}=d$. When $\Lambda$ is connected, this is equivalent to say that $\operatorname{deg} f_{t}=d$ for one $t \in \Lambda \backslash B\left(f_{\Lambda}\right)$. A meromorphic family is holomorphic if and only if $B\left(f_{\Lambda}\right)=\emptyset$.

So give a degree $d$ holomorphic family $f_{\Lambda}$ on $\Lambda$ is equivalent to give a holomorphic morphism $t \mapsto f_{t}=P_{t} / Q_{t}$ from $\Lambda$ to $\operatorname{Rad}_{d}(\mathbb{C})$. We say that $f_{\Lambda}$ is algebraic if $\Lambda$ is a complex algebraic variety and $f_{\Lambda}: \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \Lambda \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \Lambda$ is algebraic i.e. $P_{t}, Q_{t} \in \mathcal{O}(\Lambda)[x, y]$. In other words, it means that the induced morphism $\Lambda \rightarrow \operatorname{Rad}_{d}(\mathbb{C})$ is algebraic.

For a degree $d$ holomorphic family $f_{\Lambda}$ on $\Lambda$, let $\Psi_{\Lambda}: \Lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{d}$ be the holomorphic morphism sending $t \in \Lambda$ to the class of $f_{t}$ in $\mathcal{M}_{d}(\mathbb{C})$. We say that $f_{\Lambda}$ is isotrivial if $\Psi_{\Lambda}: \Lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{d}$ is locally constant. More generally for degree $d$ meromorphic family $f_{\Lambda}$, we say that $f_{\Lambda}$ is isotrivial if $\left.f\right|_{\Lambda \backslash B\left(f_{\Lambda}\right)}$ is isotrivial.
5.2. Potentially good reduction. Assume that $\Lambda$ is a Riemann surface and $f_{\Lambda}$ is a meromorphic family of degree $d$.

For $b \in \Lambda$, we say that $f_{\Lambda}$ has potentially good reduction at $b$ if $\Phi_{\Lambda \backslash\left(B\left(f_{\Lambda}\right) \cup\{b\}\right)}: \Lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{d}$ extends to a holomorphic morphism on $(\Lambda \backslash$ $\left.B\left(f_{\Lambda}\right)\right) \cup\{b\}$. In particular, $f_{\Lambda}$ has potentially good reduction at every $b \in \Lambda \backslash B\left(f_{\Lambda}\right)$.

Lemma 5.1. Assume that $\Lambda$ is an irreducible smooth projective curve. Let $f_{\Lambda}$ be a meromorphic family of degree d. If $f_{\Lambda}$ has potentially good reduction at every point in $\Lambda$, then $f_{\Lambda}$ is isotrivial.

Proof. Since $f_{\Lambda}$ has potentially good reduction at every point in $B\left(f_{\Lambda}\right)$, $\Psi_{\Lambda \backslash B\left(f_{\Lambda}\right)}: \Lambda \backslash B\left(f_{\Lambda}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{d}$ extends to a holomorphic morphism $\Psi_{\Lambda}:$ $\Lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{d}$. Recall that $\mathcal{M}_{d}(\mathbb{C})=\operatorname{Spec}\left(\mathcal{O}\left(\operatorname{Rat}_{d}(\mathbb{C})\right)\right)^{\operatorname{PGL}_{2}(\mathbb{C})}$ is affine [Sil07, Theorem 4.36(c)]. This follows from the fact that $\operatorname{Rat}_{d}(\mathbb{C})$ is affine and the geometric invariant theory [MF82, Chapter 1]. Since $\Lambda$ is projective, $\Psi_{\Lambda}$ is a constant map. This concludes the proof.

Having potentially good reduction is a local property at $b$, i.e. for every open neighborhood $U$ of $b$ in $\Lambda, f_{\Lambda}$ has potentially good reduction at $b$ if and only if $f_{U}:=\left.f_{\Lambda}\right|_{\mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C}) \times U}$ has potentially good reduction at $b$. Note that there is an open neighborhood $U$ of $b$ which is isomorphic to a disk $\mathbb{D}$ such that $f_{U \backslash\{b\}}$ is holomorphic. So we can focus on the case that $f_{\mathbb{D}}$ is a meromorphic family which is holomorphic on $\mathbb{D}^{*}$. We will give another characterization of potentially good reduction via non-archimedean dynamics.
5.3. Holomorphic family on puncture disk. Let $f_{\mathbb{D}}$ be a a meromorphic family of degree $d \geq 2$ which is holomorphic on $\mathbb{D}^{*}$. Let $t$ be the standard coordinate on $\mathbb{D}$. We can relate $f_{\mathbb{D}}$ to some non-archimedean dynamics on the field of Laurent's series $\mathbb{C}((t))$.

Recall that on $\mathbb{C}((t))$, there is a $t$-adic norm $|\cdot|$ : Given an element $z=\sum_{n \geq n_{0}} a_{n} t^{n} \neq 0$, where $n_{0} \in \mathbb{Z}, a_{n} \in \mathbb{C}$ and $a_{n_{0}} \neq 0$, the $t$-adic norm of $z$ is $|z|:=e^{-n_{0}}$. This norm is non-archimedean and $\mathbb{C}((t))$ is complete for $|\cdot|$. Set $\mathbb{L}:=\widehat{\overline{\mathbb{C}((t))}}$.

Write

$$
f([x: y], t)=\left(\left[P_{t}(x, y): Q_{t}(x, y)\right], t\right)
$$

where $P_{t}(x, y), Q_{t}(x, y)$ are homogenous polynomials of degree $d$ in $\mathcal{O}^{\text {an }}(\mathbb{D})[1 / t][x, y]$ without common divisors. Since $\mathcal{O}^{\text {an }}(\mathbb{D})[1 / t] \subseteq \mathbb{C}((t))$, $f_{\mathbb{D}}$ defines an endomorphism $f_{\mathbb{C}(t))}:[x, y] \mapsto\left[P_{t}(x, y): Q_{t}(x, y)\right]$ on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}((t))}^{1}$ of degree d. Set $f_{\mathbb{L}}:=f_{\mathbb{C}((t))} \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}((t))} \mathbb{L}: \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{L}}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{L}}^{1}$.

Recall that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\mathbb{C}((t))}=\cup_{n \geq 1} \mathbb{C}\left(\left(t^{1 / n}\right)\right) \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

To get endomorphisms over $\mathbb{C}\left(\left(t^{1 / n}\right)\right)$, we introduce some base changes of $f_{\mathbb{D}}$ as follows. Consider the morphism $\phi_{n}: U_{n}:=\mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ sending $t$ to $t^{n}$. There is $u_{n} \in \mathcal{O}^{\text {an }}\left(U_{n}\right)$ such that $u_{n}^{n}=\phi^{*} t$. Then $u_{n}$ is a coordinate on $U_{n}$ and we may identify $\mathbb{C}\left[u_{n}\right]$ with $\mathbb{C}\left[t^{1 / n}\right]$ (hence we may identify $\mathbb{C}\left(\left(u_{n}\right)\right)$ with $\left.\mathbb{C}\left(\left(t^{1 / n}\right)\right)\right)$. Let $o \in U_{n}$ be the point defined by $u_{n}=0$. The endomorphism on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}\left(\left(u_{n}\right)\right)}^{1, \text { an }}$ induced by $f_{U_{n}}$ is $f_{\mathbb{C}\left(\left(u_{n}\right)\right)}=$ $f_{\mathbb{C}((t))} \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}((t))} \mathbb{C}\left(\left(t^{1 / n}\right)\right)$.

Lemma 5.2. If $f_{\mathbb{L}}$ has good reduction then $f_{\mathbb{D}}$ has potentially good reduction at 0 .

Remark 5.3. The inverse statement of Lemma 5.2 is also true. However, we do not need that direction in this paper. So we leave it to readers.

Proof of Lemma 5.2. By Theorem 4.6, there is $h \in \mathrm{PGL}_{2}(\mathbb{L})$ such that $\mathcal{J}\left(f_{\mathbb{L}}\right)=\left\{h\left(x_{G}\right)\right\}$. Then $h^{-1} \circ f_{\mathbb{L}} \circ h$ has explicit good reduction. By (5.1) and Remark 4.3, we may assume that $h \in \operatorname{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{C}\left(\left(t^{1 / n}\right)\right)\right)$ for some $n \geq 1$. Since $\mathbb{C}\left(u_{n}\right)$ is dense in $\mathbb{C}\left(\left(u_{n}\right)\right)=\mathbb{C}\left(\left(t^{1 / n}\right)\right)$, by Remark 4.3 again, we may assume that $h \in \mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{C}\left(u_{n}\right)\right)$. There is an open neighborhood $V$ of $o$ such that $h$ and $h^{-1}$ are holomorphic on $V \backslash\{o\}$ i.e. they define holomorphic families $h_{V \backslash\{o\}}$ and $h_{V \backslash\{o\}}^{-1}$. We may assume further that $V \simeq \mathbb{D}$. Consider the family $f_{V}:=\left.h_{V}^{-1} \circ f_{U_{n}}\right|_{V} \circ h_{V}$. Observe
that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\Psi_{\mathbb{D}^{*}} \circ \phi\right|_{V \backslash\{o\}}=\Psi_{V \backslash\{o\}} . \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $f_{V}$ induces an endomorphism $f_{\mathbb{C}((u))}=f_{\mathbb{C}((t))} \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}((t))} \mathbb{C}((u))$ on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}((u))}^{1, \text { an }}$, which has good reduction. So $f_{V}$ is an endomorphism on $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times V$. So $\Psi_{V \backslash\{o\}}$ extends to a holomorphic morphism $\Psi_{V}: V \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{d}$. By (5.2), $\Psi_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}$ is bounded in some neighborhood of $o$. So $\Psi_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}$ extends to a holomorphic morphism on $\mathbb{D}$, which means that $f_{\mathbb{D}}$ has potentially good reduction at 0 .

The following definition was introduced by Kiwi.
Definition 5.4. [Kiw15] Let $f_{\mathbb{D}}$ be a meromorphic family of degree $d \geq 2$ which is holomorphic on $\mathbb{D}^{*}$. We say an endomorphism $g$ is a rescaling limit of $f_{\mathbb{D}}\left(\right.$ or $\left.f_{\mathbb{D}^{*}}\right)\left(\right.$ via $\left.\left(q, M_{\mathbb{D}}\right)\right)$ if there is an integer $q \geq 1$, a finite set $S \subset \mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C})$ and a meromorphic family $M_{\mathbb{D}}$ of degree 1 , such that $M_{\mathbb{D}}$ and $M_{\mathbb{D}}^{-1}$ are holomorphic on $\mathbb{D}^{*}$ and

$$
M_{t}^{-1} \circ f_{t}^{q} \circ M_{t}(z) \rightarrow g(z)
$$

when $t \rightarrow 0$, uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C}) \backslash S$.
The following result was proved by Kiwi.
Proposition 5.5. [Kiw15, Proposition 3.4] Let $f_{\mathbb{D}}$ be a meromorphic family of degree $d \geq 2$ which is holomorphic on $\mathbb{D}^{*}$. Let $M_{\mathbb{D}}$ be a meromorphic family of degree 1 , such that $M_{\mathbb{D}}$ and $M_{\mathbb{D}}^{-1}$ are holomorphic on $\mathbb{D}^{*}$. Then for all $q \geq 1$, the following are equivalent:
(i) There exist an endomorphism $g$ on $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ and a finite set $S \subset$ $\mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C})$ satisfy

$$
M_{t}^{-1} \circ f_{t}^{q} \circ M_{t}(z) \rightarrow g(z)
$$

when $t \rightarrow 0$, uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C}) \backslash S$.
(ii) The point $x=M_{\mathbb{L}}\left(x_{G}\right)$ is fixed by $f_{\mathbb{L}}^{q}$ and $M_{\mathbb{L}}^{-1} \circ f_{\mathbb{L}}^{q} \circ M_{\mathbb{L}}=g$.

In the case (i) and (ii) hold, $T_{x} f^{q}: T_{x} \rightarrow T_{x}$ can be identified with $g$ after identify $T_{x}$ to $T_{x_{G}}=\mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C})$ via $T_{x_{G}} M_{\mathbb{L}}: T_{x_{G}} \rightarrow T_{x}$. Under this identification, $S$ is a finite subset of $T_{x}$ which contains all the bad directions of $T_{x} f^{q}$.

Remark 5.6. One may rewrite Definition 5.4 in the following more geometric way: Let $h_{\mathbb{D}}$ be the meromorphic family $h_{\mathbb{D}}:=M_{\mathbb{D}}^{-1} \circ f_{\mathbb{D}}^{q} \circ M_{\mathbb{D}}$ on $\mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathbb{D}$, then $h_{0}=g$. Moreover, $S$ can be any finite subset containing $S_{0}$ where $I\left(h_{\mathbb{D}}\right)=S_{0} \times\{0\} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathbb{D}$.

Corollary 5.7. Let $x \in \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{L}}^{1, \text { an }}$ be a type 2 fixed point of $f_{\mathbb{L}}$. Assume that $T_{x} f_{\mathbb{L}}$ conjugates to some endomorphism $g: \mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C})$. Then there is $n \geq 1$, such that $g$ is a rescaling limit of $\left.f_{U_{n}}\right|_{V}$ where $f_{U_{n}}$ is the base change of $f_{\mathbb{D}}$ by the morphism $U_{n}:=\mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ sending $t$ to $t^{n}$ as in Section 5.3 and $V$ is an open neighborhood of $o \in U_{n}$ isomorphic to $\mathbb{D}$.

Proof. There is $M_{\mathbb{L}} \in \mathrm{PGL}_{2}(\mathbb{L})$ such that $x=M_{\mathbb{L}}\left(x_{G}\right)$, by (5.1) and Remark 4.3, we may assume that $M_{\mathbb{L}} \in \mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{C}\left(\left(t_{n}^{1 / n}\right)\right)\right)$ for some $n \geq$ 1. Let $f_{U_{n}}$ be the base change of $f_{\mathbb{D}}$ by the morphism $\phi_{n}: U_{n}:=\mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ sending $t$ to $t^{n}$ and pick $u_{n}$ with $u_{n}^{n}=\phi_{n}^{-1}(t)$ as in Section 5.3. Since $\mathbb{C}\left(u_{n}\right)$ is dense in $\mathbb{C}\left(\left(u_{n}\right)\right)=\mathbb{C}\left(\left(t^{1 / n}\right)\right)$, by Remark 4.3 again, we may assume that $M_{\mathbb{L}} \in \mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{C}\left(u_{n}\right)\right)$. There is an open neighborhood $V$ of $o$ such that $M_{\mathbb{L}}$ and $M_{\mathbb{L}}^{-1}$ are holomorphic on $V \backslash\{o\}$ i.e. they define holomorphic families $M_{V \backslash\{o\}}$ and $M_{V \backslash\{o\}}^{-1}$. Then we conclude the proof by Proposition 5.5.
5.4. Endomorphisms without repelling type I periodic points. In general the Julia set of an endomorphism $f_{\mathbb{L}}$ on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{L}}^{1, \text { an }}$ is a complicated object. The following theorem due to Favre-Rivera Letelier [FRL] and independently by Luo [Luoar, Proposition 11.4] classifies the case when $f_{\mathbb{L}}$ has no repelling type I periodic points.

Theorem 5.8. Let $f_{\mathbb{L}}: \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{L}}^{1, \text { an }} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{L}}^{1, \text { an }}$ be an endomorphism. Assume $f_{\mathbb{L}}$ has no type 1 repelling periodic points. Then the Julia set of $f_{\mathbb{L}}$ is contained in a segment.

By (v) of Proposition 4.5, $\mathcal{J}\left(f_{\mathbb{L}}\right) \neq \emptyset$. In the above theorem, if $f_{\mathbb{L}}$ does not have good reduction, then the segment can not be a point. As a corollary, we get the following lemma.

Lemma 5.9. Let $f_{\mathbb{L}}: \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{L}}^{1, \text { an }} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{L}}^{1, \text { an }}$ be an endomorphism of degree $d \geq$ 2 , which does not have good reduction. Assume that $\mathcal{J}\left(f_{\mathbb{L}}\right)$ is contained in a minimal segment $[a, b]$. Let $x$ be a repelling type 2 periodic point in ( $a, b$ ) with period $q \geq 1$. Then the tangent map $T_{x} f^{q}$ conjugates to $z \mapsto z^{m}$ for some $|m|=\operatorname{deg} T_{x} f^{q} \geq 2$. Moreover every bad direction of $T_{x} f^{q}$ is presented by $(x, a]$ or $(x, b]$ and under the above conjugacy, it is identified to 0 or $\infty$.

Proof. Since $[a, b]$ is the minimal segment that contains $\mathcal{J}(f), a$ and $b$ are contained in the Julia set. Since $\operatorname{deg} f_{\mathbb{L}} \geq 2$ and $f_{\mathbb{L}}$ does not have good reduction, the Julia set is not a single point. Hence $a \neq b$. Let $v_{1}$ (resp. $v_{2}$ ) be the direction in $T_{x}$ represented by the segment ( $\left.x, a\right]$ (resp. $(x, b])$. Since $\mathcal{J}\left(f_{\mathbb{L}}\right) \subseteq[a, b],\left\{v \in T_{x} \mid U(v) \cap \mathcal{J}\left(f_{\mathbb{L}}\right) \neq \emptyset\right\}=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\}$. Since $\mathcal{J}\left(f_{\mathbb{L}}\right)$ is totally invariant, for $v \in T_{x}$, if $f^{q}(U(v)) \cap \mathcal{J}\left(f_{\mathbb{L}}\right) \neq \emptyset$,
then $U(v) \cap \mathcal{J}\left(f_{\mathbb{L}}\right) \neq \emptyset$. Hence $v \in\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\}$. This implies (i) $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\}$ is totally invariant by $T_{x} f^{q}$. Actually let $w \in\left(T_{x} f^{q}\right)^{-1}\left(v_{i}\right)$ for some $i=$ 1, 2. Then we have $U\left(v_{i}\right) \subset f^{q}(U(w))$. This implies $f^{q}(U(w)) \cap \mathcal{J}\left(f_{\mathbb{L}}\right) \neq$ $\emptyset$. Thus $w=v_{i}$. (ii) Bad directions of $T_{x} f^{q}$ are contained in $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\}$. Actually if $w$ is a bad direction, then we have $f^{q}(U(w))=\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{L}}^{1, \text { an }}$, hence $f^{q}(U(w)) \cap \mathcal{J}\left(f_{\mathbb{L}}\right) \neq \emptyset$, which implies $w=v_{1}$ or $v_{2}$.

Finally, an endomorphism of degree $\operatorname{deg} T_{x} f^{q}$ on $\mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C})$ has a totally invariant set with two elements must conjugates to $z \mapsto z^{m}$ for some $|m|=\operatorname{deg} T_{x} f^{q}$. This conjugacy maps $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\}$ to $\{0, \infty\}$, which concludes the proof.

The following Theorem is the main result of this section.
Theorem 5.10. Let $f_{\mathbb{D}}$ be a meromorphic family of degree $d \geq 2$ which is holomorphic on $\mathbb{D}^{*}$. Assume that $f_{\mathbb{D}}$ does not have potentially good reduction at 0 . For every $n \geq 1$, assume that the multipliers of the $n$-periodic points of $f_{t}$ are uniformly bounded in $t$. Then there is $n \geq$ $1, m \geq 2$, such that $g: z \mapsto z^{m}$ is a rescaling limit of $\left.f_{U_{n}}\right|_{V}$ where $f_{U_{n}}$ is the base change of $f_{\mathbb{D}}$ by the morphism $U_{n}:=\mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ sending $t$ to $t^{n}$ as in Section 5.3 and $V$ is an open neighborhood of $o \in U_{n}$ isomorphic to $\mathbb{D}$. Moreover, we may ask the finite set $S$ in Definition 5.4 to be contained in $\{0, \infty\}$.

Proof. Let $f_{\mathbb{L}}: \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{L}}^{1, \text { an }} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{L}}^{1, \text { an }}$ be the endomorphism induced by $f_{\mathbb{D}}$. The multipliers of the $n$-periodic points of $f_{t}$ are uniformly bounded in $t$ implies $f_{\mathbb{L}}$ has no repelling type 1 periodic points. By Theorem $5.8, \mathcal{J}\left(f_{\mathbb{L}}\right)$ is contained in a minimal segment $[a, b]$. Since $f_{\mathbb{D}}$ does not have potentially good reduction at 0 , by Lemma $5.2, f_{\mathbb{L}}$ does not have good reduction. By a result of Rivera-Letelier [BR10, Theorem 10.88], there are infinitely many repelling type 2 periodic points. By (iii) of Proposition 4.5 , they are necessarily contained in $\mathcal{J}\left(f_{\mathbb{L}}\right)$. Pick a repelling type II periodic point $x$ that are contained in $(a, b)$ of period $q \geq 1$. By Lemma 5.9, replace $q$ by $2 q$ if necessary, the tangent map $T_{x} f^{q}$ is conjugate to $z \mapsto z^{m}$ for some $m \geq 2$. Moreover the bad directions of $T_{x} f^{q}$ can be identified with a subset of $\{0, \infty\}$ by the conjugacy. The proof is finished by using Corollary 5.7.

## 6. A new proof of McMullen's theorem

We can now give a new proof of Theorem 1.2.
Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $f_{\Lambda}$ be a non-isotrivial stable irreducible algebraic family of endomorphisms of degree $d \geq 2$. Since $\Lambda$ is covered by affine open subsets, we may assume that $\Lambda$ itself is affine. Cutting
$\Lambda$ by hyperplanes and removing the singular points, we can reduce to the case that $\Lambda$ is a connected Riemann surface of finite type. Since the only non-isotrivial family of exceptional endomorphisms of degree $d$ is the flexible Lattès family, we only need to show that there is a nonempty open subset $W$ of $\Lambda$ such that for $t \in W, f_{t}$ is exceptional.

Write $\Lambda=M \backslash B$, where $M$ is a compact Riemann surface and $B$ is a finite subset. Since $f_{\Lambda}$ is algebraic, it extends to a meromorphic family of degree $d$. We have $B\left(f_{M}\right) \subseteq B$. Since $f_{\Lambda}$ is not isotrivial, by Lemma 5.1, there is $b \in B$ such that $f_{M}$ does not have potentially good reduction at $b$. Reparametrize our family near $b \in M$, we get a meromorphic family $f_{\mathbb{D}}$ of degree $d \geq 2$, which is holomorphic on $\mathbb{D}^{*}$ and presevres multiplier spectrum.

By Theorem 5.10, after replacing $f_{\mathbb{D}}$ by the family $f_{V}$ in Theorem 5.10, we may assume that $z \mapsto z^{m}$ for some $m \geq 2$ is a rescaling limit of $f_{\mathbb{D}}$ with $S=\{0, \infty\}$. Using the reformulation of the rescaling limit in Remark 5.6, there is an integer $q \geq 1$ and a meromorphic family $M_{\mathbb{D}}$ of degree 1 , such that $M_{\mathbb{D}}$ and $M_{\mathbb{D}}^{-1}$ are holomorphic on $\mathbb{D}^{*}$, and $h_{0}$ is $z \rightarrow z^{m}$ where $h_{\mathbb{D}}:=M_{\mathbb{D}}^{-1} \circ f_{\mathbb{D}}^{q} \circ M_{\mathbb{D}}$ on $\mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathbb{D}$. Moreover $I\left(h_{\mathbb{D}}\right) \subseteq\{0, \infty\} \times\{0\} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathbb{D}$. We may replace $f_{\mathbb{D}}$ by $h_{\mathbb{D}}$ and assume that $f_{0}: z \mapsto z^{m}$ and $I\left(f_{\mathbb{D}}\right) \subseteq\{0, \infty\} \times\{0\} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathbb{D}$.

The Julia set of $f_{0}$ is the unit circle $S^{1}$, and $f_{0}$ is expanding on $S^{1}$. We need the following classical lemma of holomorphic motions of expanding sets. A proof can be found (without using quasiconformal maps) in Jonsson [Jon98], which is also valid in higher dimension. Let $K \subset \mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C})$ be a compact set. We say $f: K \rightarrow K$ is expanding if there exists $C>0$ and $\rho>1$ such that $\left|d f^{n}(x)\right| \geq C \rho^{n}$ for every $n \geq 0$ and $x \in K$.
Lemma 6.1. Let $\left(f_{t}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{D}}$ be a family of endomorphisms on $\mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C})$. Suppose $f_{0}$ has an expanding set $K, f(K)=K$. Assume $\left(f_{t}\right)$ is a holomorphic family in a neighborhood of $K$, i.e. there exists an open set $V, K \subset V$ such that for every $z \in V, t \mapsto f_{t}(z)$ is holomorphic in $\mathbb{D}$. Then there exists $r>0$ and a continuous map $h: \mathbb{D}_{r} \times K \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C})$ such that for each $t \in \mathbb{D}_{r}$ :
(i) $K_{t}:=h(t, K)$ is an expanding set of $f_{t}$.
(ii) the map $h_{t}:=h(t, \cdot): K \rightarrow K_{t}$ is a homeomorphism and $f_{t} \circ$ $h_{t}=h_{t} \circ f_{0}$.
We set $f_{0}: z \mapsto z^{m}$ and $K:=S^{1}$ in the above lemma. The endomorphism $f_{0}$ has the following properties:
(1) $f_{0}^{-1}(K)=f_{0}(K)=K$;
(2) all periodic points outside the exceptional set $\{0, \infty\}$ are contained in $K$;
(3) for every $n$-periodic point $z \in K$, we have $d f_{0}^{n}(z)=m^{n}$.

Since the family $\left(f_{t}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{D}^{*}}$ has the same multiplier spectrum, the multiplier of the periodic point $h_{t}(z)$ of $f_{t}$ does not change in the family $t \in \mathbb{D}_{r}^{*}$. Hence for every $t \in \mathbb{D}_{r}$ we have $d f_{t}^{n}\left(h_{t}(z)\right)=m^{n}$. We choose a homoclinic orbit $o_{i}, i \geq 0$ of $f_{0}$ with $o_{0}=1$. By (1), all $o_{i}, i \geq 1$ are contained in $K$. Hence $h_{t}\left(o_{i}\right), i \geq 0$ is a homoclinic orbit of $f_{t}$ at $z=h_{t}(1)$, for $t \in \mathbb{D}_{r}$. Let $q_{i}, i \geq 0$ be an adjoint sequence of $o_{i}, i \geq 0$. For every $t \in \mathbb{D}_{r}^{*}$, we need to show $h_{t}\left(q_{i}\right), i \geq 0$ is an adjoint sequence of $h_{t}\left(o_{i}\right), i \geq 0$. In fact let $U_{t}$ be a linearization domain of $f_{t}$ at $h_{t}(1)$. Let $U_{t, i}$ be the connected component of $f_{t}^{-i}\left(U_{t}\right)$ containing $h_{t}\left(o_{i}\right)$. Let $l$ be a good return time of $U_{t}$. For every $n \geq l, f_{t}^{n}: U_{t, n} \rightarrow U_{t}$ is an isomorphism, with a unique fixed point $p_{n}$. Let $V$ be the connected component of $h_{t}^{-1}\left(U_{t} \cap K_{t}\right)$ containing 1. It is an open arc in $S^{1}$. Let $V_{n}$ be the connected component of $f_{0}^{-n}(V)$ containing $o_{n}$. Since $K$ is totally invariant by $f_{0}$ and $V$ contains some linearization domain at 1 , after enlarging $l$ if necessary, for every $n \geq l$ we have $q_{n} \in V_{n} \cap K$. Hence $h_{t}\left(q_{n}\right) \in U_{t, n} \cap K_{t}$, which is fixed by $f_{t}^{n}: U_{t, n} \rightarrow U_{t}$. By the uniqueness of $p_{n}$ we have $p_{n}=h_{t}\left(q_{n}\right)$. Hence $h_{t}\left(q_{i}\right), i \geq 0$ is an adjoint sequence of $h_{t}\left(o_{i}\right), i \geq 0$.

For every $t \in \mathbb{D}_{r}^{*}$, we consider the dynamics of $f_{t}$. The fixed point $h_{t}(1)$ has multiplier $m$ and the adjoint sequence $h_{t}\left(q_{i}\right), i \geq 0$ of the homoclinic orbit $h_{t}\left(o_{i}\right), i \geq 0$ has multiplier $m^{i}$ when $i$ large enough. By Theorem 2.11, $f_{t}$ is exceptional, which concludes the proof.

## 7. Conformal expanding repellers and applications

7.1. Definition, examples and rigidity of CER. The following definition was introduced by Sullivan [Sul86].

Definition 7.1. Let $f: \mathbb{P}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ be an edomorphism over $\mathbb{C}$. An compact set $K \subset \mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C})$ is called a CER of $f$ if
(i) There exists $m \geq 1$ and a neighborhood $V$ of $K$ such that $f^{m}(K)=K$ and $K=\cap_{n \geq 0} f^{-m n}(V)$.
(ii) $f^{m}: K \rightarrow K$ is expanding.
(iii) $f^{m}: K \rightarrow K$ is topologically exact, i.e. for every open set $U \subset K$ there exists $n \geq 0$ such that $f^{m n}(U)=K$.

Remark 7.2. Condition (i)+(ii) is equivalent to $f^{m}$ is expanding on $K$ and $f^{m}: K \rightarrow K$ is an open map [PU10, Lemma 6.1.2].

Remark 7.3. Our definition of CER is slightly stronger than the definition in [PU10], where $f^{m}$ need not to be topologically exact on $K$.

However by the classical spectral decomposition theorem [PU10, Theorem 4.3.8], the non-wandering set of a CER in the sense of [PU10] is a finite disjoint union of CERs in the sense of Definition 7.1.

The following is an important class of examples of CER.
Example 7.4. Assume $V, U_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq k$ are connected open sets in $\mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C}), k \geq 2$ such that $\overline{U_{i}} \subset V$, and there exists $m \geq 1$ such that $f^{m}: U_{i} \rightarrow V$ is an isomorphism. Then we call

$$
K:=\left\{z \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} U_{i} \mid f^{m n}(z) \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} U_{i} \text { for every } n \geq 0\right\}
$$

a horseshoe of $f$. We check that $K$ satisfies the three conditions in Definition 7.1. Let $V_{0}:=\cup_{i=1}^{k} U_{i}$.

Condition (i): It follows from the definition of $K$;
Condition (ii): $f^{m}: V_{0} \rightarrow V$ strictly expands the hyperbolic metric of $V$, this implies $f^{m}: K \rightarrow K$ is expanding;
Condition (iii): Again using $f^{m}: V_{0} \rightarrow V$ strictly expands the hyperbolic metric of $V$, the maximal diameter of the connected components of $f^{-n m}\left(V_{0}\right) \cap V_{0}$ shrinks to 0 when $n \rightarrow \infty$. For each open set $W \subset K$, there exist integer $n \geq 0$ and a connected component $B$ of $f^{-n m}\left(V_{0}\right) \cap V_{0}$ such that $B \cap K \subset W$. Since $f^{(n+1) m}(B \cap K)=K$, we have $f^{(n+1) m}(W)=K$. Hence $f^{m}$ : $K \rightarrow K$ is topologically exact.
Moreover $K$ is a Cantor set, in particular $K$ is not a finite set.
When $f$ has degree at least 2 , there are plenty of horseshoes. Following the terminology in section 2 , we can construct a horseshoe associated to finite numbers of homoclinic orbits at $o$. We prove the following lemma which will be used in the proof of Theorem 1.8.

Lemma 7.5. Let o be a repelling fixed point. Let $k \geq 1$ be an integer. Assume for each fixed $1 \leq j \leq k, o_{i}^{j}, i \geq 0$ is a homoclinic orbit of o such that $o_{i}^{j} \notin C(f)$. Then there exists an integer $m \geq 1$ and a horseshoe $f^{m}: K \rightarrow K$ such that $o_{m i}^{j} \in K$ for every $i \geq 0$ and $1 \leq j \leq k$. Moreover for each $0 \leq q \leq m-1, f^{q}(K)$ is a CER.
Proof. By Lemma 2.6, there exists a linearization domain $U$ of $o$ and an integer $m$ such that for every $1 \leq j \leq k, m$ is a common good return time of $U$ for the homoclinic orbits $o_{i}^{j}, i \geq 0$. Let $U_{m}^{j}$ be the connected component of $f^{-m}(U)$ containing $o_{m}^{j}$. Let

$$
V_{0}:=\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{k} U_{m}^{j}\right) \cup g^{m}(U)
$$

Then the set

$$
K:=\left\{z \in V_{0} \mid f^{m n}(z) \in V_{0} \text { for } n \geq 0\right\}
$$

is a horseshoe of $f$. Clearly we have $o_{m i}^{j} \in K$ for every $i \geq 0$ and $1 \leq j \leq k$.

For each $0 \leq q \leq m-1$ let $K_{q}:=f^{q}(K)$. We know that $f^{q}$ : $U_{m}^{j} \rightarrow U_{m-q}^{j}$ is an isomorphism, and $f^{q}: g^{m}(U) \rightarrow g^{m-q}(U)$ is an isomorphism. This implies $f^{q}: V_{0} \rightarrow f^{q}\left(V_{0}\right)$ is a finite holomorphic covering (the image of $f^{q}$ of two components of $V_{0}$ may coincide). We let $\phi_{q}$ denote this map. Then we have

$$
\left.\phi_{q} \circ f^{m}\right|_{V_{0}}=\left.f^{m}\right|_{f^{q}\left(V_{0}\right)} \circ \phi_{q}
$$

on $f^{-m}\left(V_{0}\right) \cap V_{0}$, which implies that $f^{m}: K \rightarrow K$ and $f^{m}: K_{q} \rightarrow$ $K_{q}$ are holomorphically semi-conjugated by $\phi_{q}$ on the corresponding neighborhoods of $K$ and $K_{q}$. We check that $K_{q}$ satisfies the three conditions in Definition 7.1. Since $\phi_{q}$ is a covering and $f^{m}: K \rightarrow K$ is an open map, $f^{m}: K_{q} \rightarrow K_{q}$ is an open map. Since $f^{m}: K \rightarrow K$ is expanding and $\left|d \phi_{q}\right|>c$ on $K$ for some constant $c>0, f^{m}: K_{q} \rightarrow K_{q}$ is expanding. By Remark 7.2, conditions (i) and (ii) hold. Since $f^{m}$ : $K \rightarrow K$ is topologically exact and $\phi_{q}: K \rightarrow K_{q}$ is a semi-conjugacy, $f^{m}: K_{q} \rightarrow K_{q}$ is topologically exact. This implies Condition (iii). Hence $K_{q}=f^{q}(K)$ is a CER.

The following definition of linear CER was introduced by Sullivan [Sul86].

Definition 7.6. Let $f: \mathbb{P}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ be an edomorphism over $\mathbb{C}$. Let $K$ be a CER of $f . f(K)=K$. We call $K$ linear if one of the following conditions holds.
(i) The function $\log |d f|$ is cohomologous to a locally constant function on $K$, i.e. there exists a continuous function $u$ on $K$ such that $\log |d f|-(u \circ f-u)$ is locally constant on $K$.
(ii) There exists an atlas $\left\{\phi_{i}\right\}_{1 \leq i \leq k}$ that is a family of holomorphic injections $\phi_{i}: V_{i} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $K \subset \cup_{i=1}^{k} V_{i}$ and all the maps $\phi_{i} \circ \phi_{j}^{-1}$ and $\phi_{i} \circ f \circ \phi_{j}^{-1}$ are affine.

A proof that these two conditions are acutually equivalent can be found in Przytycki-Urbanski [PU10, section 10.1].

The following Sullivan's rigidity theorem [Sul86] will be used in the proof of Theorem 1.5 and Theorem 1.8. A proof can be found in [PU10, section 10.2].

Theorem 7.7 (Sullivan). Let $\left(f, K_{f}\right)$, $\left(g, K_{g}\right)$ be two CERs such that $K_{f}$ is non-linear, $f\left(K_{f}\right)=K_{f}, g\left(K_{g}\right)=K_{g}$. Let $h: K_{f} \rightarrow K_{g}$ be a homeomorphism such that $h \circ f=g \circ h$ on $K_{f}$. Then the following two conditions are equivalent
(i) for every periodic point $x \in K_{f}$ we have $\left|d f^{n}(x)\right|=\left|d g^{n}(h(x))\right|$, where $n$ is the period of $x$;
(ii) there exist a neighborhood $U$ of $K_{f}$ and a neighborhood $V$ of $K_{g}$ such that $h$ extends to a conformal map $h: U \rightarrow V$.

Here as in Theorem 1.8, a conformal map may change the orientation of $\mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C})$.
7.2. Having a linear CER implies exceptional. Now we give a proof of Theorem 1.1.
Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $K$ be a linear CER of $f$, which is not a finite set. By [PU10, Proposition 4.3.6], there exists a repelling periodic point $o \in K$ of $f$. Passing to an iterate of $f$ we may assume $f(K)=K$ and $f(o)=o$. Topological exactness of $f$ on $K$ implies for every $a \in K$, the preimages of $\left.f\right|_{K}$ are dense in $K$. Let $U$ be a linearization domain $U$ of $f$ at $o$. Since $K \neq\{o\}$, there exists $l \geq 1$ and a point $p_{l} \in K$ such that $p_{l} \neq o, f^{l}\left(p_{l}\right)=o$. Then there exists a (unique) homoclinic orbit $o_{i}, i \geq 0$ such that $o_{l}=p_{l}$ and $o_{i} \in U$ for every $i \geq l$. Clearly $o_{i} \in K$ when $i \leq l$. By the definition of CER, there exists a neighborhood $V$ of $K$ such that $K=\cap_{n \geq 0} f^{-n}(V)$. Shrink $U$ if necessary we assume $U \subset V$. Hence for every $i \geq l$ we have $o_{i} \in V$. This implies for every fixed $i \geq 0$, for every $n \geq 0$ we have $f^{n}\left(o_{i}\right) \in V$. Hence $o_{i} \in K$ for every $i \geq 0$.

Let $\left\{V_{j}\right\}_{1 \leq j \leq k}$ be an affine atlas in Definition 7.6. Shrink the linearization domain $U$ if necessary we may assume for every $i \geq 0, U_{i}$ (the connected component of $f^{-i}(U)$ containing $o_{i}$ ) is contained in some affine chart, say $V_{j(i)}$. In particular $U \subset V_{j(0)}$ and $U_{i} \subset V_{j(0)}$ for every $i \geq l$. Let $\left\{q_{i}\right\}, i \geq 0$ be the adjoint sequence of $o_{i}, i \geq 0$. For every large enough integer $n$ we have $q_{n} \in U_{n}$. For such fixed $n$, for every $1 \leq i \leq n$ we have $f^{n-i}\left(q_{n}\right) \in U_{i} \subset V_{j(i)}$. Let $\lambda_{i} \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$ be the derivatives of the affine map $\phi_{j(i+1)} \circ f \circ \phi_{j(i)}^{-1}$, where $0 \leq i \leq l-1$. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$ be the derivatives of the affine map $\phi_{j(0)} \circ f \circ \phi_{j(0)}^{-1}$. Then we have $d f(o)=\lambda$, and for every $n$ large enough we have

$$
d f^{n}\left(q_{n}\right)=\left(\prod_{i=0}^{l-1} \lambda_{i}\right) \lambda^{n-l} .
$$

By Theorem 2.11, $f$ is exceptional. The proof is finished.
7.3. Marked length spectrum rigidity. We now prove Theorem 1.8 by using Theorem 1.1 and Lemma 7.5.

Proof of Theorem 1.8. It is clear that (ii) implies (i). We need to show (i) implies (ii). Assume that $h$ preserves the marked length spectrum on $\Omega$. If $h$ extends to a global conformal map $\mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C})$, since $h \circ f=g \circ h$ on $\mathcal{J}(f)$, the same equality holds on $\mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C})$. So we may replace $f$ by its iterate. Passing to an iterate of $f$, we assume $f$ has a repelling fixed point $o \in \Omega$ and $o \notin P C(f)$. A result of Eremenkovan Strien [EVS11] says that if a non-Lattès endomorphism $f$ has the property that all the multipliers are real for periodic points contained in a non-empty open set of $\mathcal{J}(f)$, then $\mathcal{J}(f)$ is contained in a circle. By this result there are two cases:
(i) we can further choose $o$ such that $d f(o) \notin \mathbb{R}$;
(ii) $\mathcal{J}(f)$ is contained in a circle $C$.

By our choice of $o, h(o)$ is a repelling fixed point of $g$. Moreover we have $h(o) \notin P C(g)$ since $h$ presevre critical points in the Julia set. This can be proved using the total invariance of the Julia sets and the fact that critical means locally not injective. Let $o_{i} i \geq 0$ be a homoclinic orbit of $o$. Then $h\left(o_{i}\right), i \geq 0$ is a homoclinic orbit of $h(o)$. Let $U$ be a linearization domain of $o$ such that $U \cap \mathcal{J}(f) \subset \Omega$. Let $W$ be a connected open neighborhood of $h(o)$ such that $h(U \cap \mathcal{J}(f)) \subset W$ and $W \cap \mathcal{J}(g) \subset h(\Omega)$. By Lemma 2.6, shrink $U$ and $W$ if necessary there exists $m \geq 1$ such that $m$ is a good return time of $U$ (resp. $W$ ) for $o_{i}, i \geq 0$ (resp. $h\left(o_{i}\right), i \geq 0$ ). By Lemma 7.5, there exist two horseshoes, $f^{m}: K_{f} \rightarrow K_{f}$ (resp. $g^{m}: X_{g} \rightarrow X_{g}$ ) such that $o_{i m} \in K_{f}, i \geq 0\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.h\left(o_{i m}\right) \in X_{g}, i \geq 0\right)$. We let $K_{g}:=h\left(K_{f}\right)$. By our construction we have $h: K_{f} \rightarrow K_{g}$ is a homeomorphism and $h \circ f^{m}=g^{m} \circ h$ on $K_{f}$. Moreover $K_{g} \subset X_{g}$. We check that $K_{g}$ is a CER of $g: g^{m}: K_{g} \rightarrow K_{g}$ is open and topologically exact since $f^{m}: K_{f} \rightarrow K_{f}$ is; $g^{m}: K_{g} \rightarrow K_{g}$ is expanding since $K_{g}$ is contained in an expanding set $X_{g}$. Hence $K_{g}$ is a CER of $g$. Passing to an iterate we may assume $f\left(K_{f}\right)=K_{f}$ and $g\left(K_{g}\right)=K_{g}$. To simplify the notation, for $i \geq 0$ we let $o_{i}$ be the unique point in $f^{-i}(o)$ which is contained in the previous homoclinic orbit.

Since $f$ is not exceptional, $K_{f}$ is a non-linear CER by Theorem 1.1. Moreover by our construction we have $K_{f} \subset \Omega$. Hence for every $n$ periodic point $x \in K_{f}$, we have $\left|d f^{n}(x)\right|=\left|d g^{n}(h(x))\right|$. By Theorem 7.7, $h$ can be extended conformally to a neighborhood $V$ of $K_{f}$ such that $V \cap \mathcal{J}(f) \subset \Omega$. We denote this extension by $\tilde{h}$. In case (ii), we can further assume that $\tilde{h}$ is in fact holomorphic: if $\tilde{h}$ is antiholomorphic on
some connected component $B$ of $V$, let $\phi$ be a non-identity conformal map (necessarily antiholomorphic) on $\mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C})$ such that $\phi$ fixes every point in $C$, then on $B$, we may replace $\tilde{h}$ by $\tilde{h} \circ \phi$, which is holomorphic. We have $\tilde{h}=h$ on $K_{f}$. Since $\tilde{h} \circ f=g \circ \tilde{h}$ on $K_{f}$ and $K_{f}$ is a perfect set, by the conformality of $\tilde{h}$ we have $\tilde{h} \circ f=g \circ \tilde{h}$ on $V$.

Next we show that $\tilde{h}=h$ on $U_{0} \cap \mathcal{J}(f)$, where $U_{0} \subset V$ is a linearization domain of $o$. Let $E$ be the set of all $f$-preimages of $o$. For every $a \in E \cap U_{0}, f^{q}(a)=o$, there exists a homoclinic orbit $o_{i}^{\prime}$ of $o$ such that $a=o_{q}^{\prime}$, and $o_{i}^{\prime} \in U_{0}$ for every $i \geq q$.

Choose $m^{\prime} \geq q$, by similar construction as in first paragraph, we get two CERs, $f^{m^{\prime}}: K_{f}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow K_{f}^{\prime \prime}$ (resp. $g^{m^{\prime}}: K_{g}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow K_{g}^{\prime \prime}$ ) such that $o_{i m^{\prime}} \in K_{f}^{\prime \prime}$ and $o_{i m^{\prime}}^{\prime} \in K_{f}^{\prime \prime}$ (resp. $h\left(o_{i m^{\prime}}\right) \in K_{g}^{\prime \prime}$ and $h\left(o_{i m^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right) \in K_{g}^{\prime \prime}$ ) for $i \geq 0$. Moreover, $K_{f}^{\prime \prime}$ is a horseshoe and $K_{g}^{\prime \prime}$ is contained in a horseshoe $X_{g}^{\prime \prime}$. By Lemma 7.5, $K_{f}^{\prime}:=f^{m^{\prime}-q}\left(K_{f}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ and $f^{m^{\prime}-q}\left(X_{f}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ are CERs. Since $K_{g}^{\prime}:=f^{m^{\prime}-q}\left(K_{g}^{\prime \prime}\right) \subseteq f^{m^{\prime}-q}\left(X_{f}^{\prime \prime}\right), g^{m}: K_{g}^{\prime} \rightarrow K_{g}^{\prime}$ is expending. Since $h: K_{f}^{\prime} \rightarrow K_{g}^{\prime}$ is a homeomorphism and $h \circ f^{m^{\prime}}=g^{m^{\prime}} \circ h$ on $K_{f}^{\prime}, g^{m^{\prime}}$ : $K_{g}^{\prime} \rightarrow K_{g}^{\prime}$ is open and topologically exact. By Remark 7.2, $K_{g}^{\prime}$ is a CER. Moreover, we have $o_{q+i m^{\prime}} \in K_{f}^{\prime}$ and $o_{q+i m^{\prime}}^{\prime} \in K_{f}^{\prime}\left(\right.$ resp. $h\left(o_{q+i m^{\prime}}\right) \in K_{g}^{\prime}$ and $\left.h\left(o_{q+i m^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right) \in K_{g}^{\prime}\right)$ for $i \geq 0$. Since $f$ is not exceptional, $K_{f}^{\prime}$ is a non-linear CER by Theorem 1.1. Moreover every periodic point $x$ of $f^{m^{\prime}}: K_{f}^{\prime} \rightarrow K_{f}^{\prime}$ has the form $x=f^{m^{\prime}-q}(y)$, where $y$ is a periodic point $x$ of $f^{m^{\prime}}: K_{f}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow K_{f}^{\prime \prime}$. Since $K_{f}^{\prime \prime} \subset \Omega$, we get that the $f$-orbit of $x$ has non empty intersection with $\Omega$. This implies for every $n$-periodic point $x$ of $f^{m^{\prime}}: K_{f}^{\prime} \rightarrow K_{f}^{\prime}$ we have $\left|d f^{m^{\prime} n}(x)\right|=\left|d g^{m^{\prime} n}(h(x))\right|$. By Theorem 7.7, $h$ can be extended conformally to a neighborhood $V^{\prime}$ of $K_{f}^{\prime}$. Denote this extension by $\tilde{h}^{\prime}$. In case (ii) we further assume that $\tilde{h}^{\prime}$ is holomorphic. We have $\tilde{h}^{\prime}\left(o_{q+i m^{\prime}}\right)=\tilde{h}\left(o_{q+i m^{\prime}}\right)=h\left(o_{q+i m^{\prime}}\right), i \geq 0$. The set $\left\{o_{q+i m^{\prime}}, i \geq 0\right\}$ is a set with accumulation point $o$. We claim that $\tilde{h}^{\prime}=\tilde{h}$ on $V_{0}$, where $V_{0}$ is the connected component of $V \cap V^{\prime}$ containing $o$. In case (i), since $d f(o) \notin \mathbb{R}, \tilde{h}^{\prime}$ and $\tilde{h}$ are both holomorphic or both antiholomorphic on $V_{0}$, hence $\tilde{h}^{\prime}=\tilde{h}$ on $V_{0}$. In case (ii), by our choices $\tilde{h}^{\prime}$ and $\tilde{h}$ are both holomorphic, hence $\tilde{h}^{\prime}=\tilde{h}$ on $V_{0}$.

There exists $b \in V_{0} \cap K_{f}^{\prime}$ such that $f^{q+n m^{\prime}}(b)=a$ for some $n \geq 0$ and $\left\{b, f(b), \cdots, f^{q+n m^{\prime}}(b)\right\} \subset U_{0}$. We also have $\tilde{h}(b)=\tilde{h}^{\prime}(b)=h(b)$. Since $\tilde{h} \circ f=g \circ \tilde{h}$ on $U_{0}$ we have

$$
\tilde{h}(a)=\tilde{h}\left(f^{q}(b)\right)=g^{q}(\tilde{h}(b))=g^{q}(h(b))=h\left(f^{q}(b)\right)=h(a) .
$$

This implies $\tilde{h}=h$ on $E \cap U_{0}$. Since $E$ is dense in $\mathcal{J}(f)$ we get that $\tilde{h}=h$ on $U_{0} \cap \mathcal{J}(f)$.

To summary we have shown that the homeomorphism $h: \mathcal{J}(f) \rightarrow$ $\mathcal{J}(g)$ conjugates $f$ to $g$ can be extended conformally to a disk intersecting $\mathcal{J}(f)$. By a lemma due to Przytycki-Urbanski [PU99, Proposition 5.4, Lemma 5.5], $h$ extends to a conformal map $h: \mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C})$ such that $h \circ f=g \circ h$ on $\mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C})$.
7.4. Marked multiplier spectrum rigidity. Combining Theorem 1.8 and Eremenko-van Strien's theorem [EVS11], we now prove Theorem 1.7.

Proof of Theorem 1.7. It is clear that (ii) implies (i). We need to show that (i) implies (ii). Assume $h$ preserves the marked multiplier spectrum on $\Omega$. By Theorem 1.8, $h$ can be extended to a conformal map on $\mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C})$. If $h$ is holomorphic then we are done. If $h$ is antiholomorphic, then the multipliers of all periodic points in $\Omega$ are real. By the main theorem in [EVS11], $\mathcal{J}(f)$ is contained in a circle $C$. Let $\phi$ be a non-identity conformal map on $\mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C})$ such that $\phi$ fixes every point in $C$. Let $\tilde{h}:=h \circ \phi$, then $\tilde{h} \in \operatorname{PGL}_{2}(\mathbb{C})$, and we have $\tilde{h} \circ f=g \circ \tilde{h}$ on $\mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C})$, this finishes the proof.
7.5. Another proof of McMullen's theorem. Now we can give another proof of Theorem 1.2 using $\lambda$-Lemma and Theorem 1.7.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. By using $\lambda$-Lemma [McM16, Theorem 4.1], it is well known that two endomorphisms in a stable family are quasiconformally conjugate on thier Julia sets. Assume by contradiction the conclusion is not true. Since exceptional endomorphisms that are not flexible Lattès are isolated in the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{d}$, there is at least one $f$ in the familly that is not exceptional. Let $g$ be another endomorphism in the family. Let $h: \mathcal{J}(f) \rightarrow \mathcal{J}(g)$ be the quasicoformal conjugacy. Since multiplier spectrum is preserved in this family and the conjugacy $h$ moves continuously in the family, for every $n$-periodic point $x$ of $f$ we have $d f^{n}(x)=d g^{n}(h(x))$. By Theorem 1.7, $h$ extends to an automorphism on $\mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C})$. This contradicts to the assumption that the family is non-isotrivial.
7.6. Milnor's conjecture on Lyapunov exponent. We now prove Theorem 1.14 using Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.14. Let $S$ be the finite exceptional set of periodic points in the assumption of Theorem 1.14. Passing to an iterate of $f$ there exists a repelling fixed point $o$ of $f$ such that $o \notin S$. Choose a linearization domain $U$ of $o$ such that $U \cap S=\emptyset$. By the discussion in Lemma 7.5, there exists a horseshoe $K \subset U$. Passing to an iterate of $f$,
we assume that $f(K)=K$. For every $n$-periodic point $x \in K$, we have $\left|d f^{n}(x)\right|=b^{n}$ for some $b>0$. Consider the function $\phi:=\log |d f|$. We have shown that for every $n$-periodic point $x \in K, \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \phi\left(f^{i}(x)\right)=$ $n \log b$. Recall the following classical Livsic Theorem [Liv72]

Lemma 7.8. Let $K$ be a CER of $f, f(K)=K$. Let $\phi$ be a Hölder continuous function on $K$. Assume there exits a constant $C$ such that for every $n$-periodic point $x \in K$ of $f$ we have

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \phi\left(f^{i}(x)\right)=n C
$$

then there exists a continuous function $u$ on $K$ such that $\phi-C=$ $u \circ f-u$.

Apply the above theorem to $\phi:=\log |d f|$, we get that $\phi$ is cohomologous to a constant function on $K$ in the sense of Definition 7.6. Hence $K$ is a linear CER, which is not a finite set. By Theorem 1.1, $f$ is exceptional. The proof is finished.

Next we prove Corollary 1.16. Let $f: \mathbb{P}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ be an endomorphism over $\mathbb{C}$ of degree at least 2. By Gelfert-Przytycki-Rams [GPR10], there is a forward invariant finite set $\Sigma \subset \mathcal{J}(f)$ with cardinality at most 4 (possibly empty), such that for every finite set $F \subset \mathcal{J}(f) \backslash \Sigma$, we have $f^{-1}(F) \backslash C(f) \neq F$. Let $\Delta^{\prime}(f)$ be the closure of the Lyapunov exponents of periodic points contained in $\mathcal{J}(f) \backslash \Sigma$. The following Theorem was proved by Gelfert-Przytycki-Rams-Rivera Letelier. Be aware that the definition of "exceptional" in [GPR10] and [GPRRL13] has different meaning with ours.

Theorem 7.9. [GPR10, Theorem 2], [GPRRL13, Theorem 1, Proposition 10]. Let $f: \mathbb{P}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ be an endomorphism over $\mathbb{C}$ of degree at least 2. Then $\Delta^{\prime}(f)$ is a closed interval (possibly a singleton).

Proof of Corollary 1.16. If $\Delta^{\prime}(f)$ is not a singleton we are done by Theorem 7.9. If $\Delta^{\prime}(f)$ is a singleton, then by Theorem 1.14, $f$ is exceptional, contradics to our assumption. This finishes the proof.
7.7. A simple proof of Zdunik's theorem. Next we give a simple proof of Theorem 1.11, using Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.11. It is easy to observe that if $f$ is exceptional then $\mu$ is absolutely continuous with respect to $\Lambda_{\alpha}$. We only need to show the converse is true.

Let $\phi:=\alpha \log |d f|$. Following Zdunik [Zdu90], we say $\phi$ is cohomologous to $\log d$ if there exists a function $u \in L^{2}(\mathcal{J}(f), \mu)$ such that $\phi-\log d=u \circ f-u$ holds for almost every point, where $\mathcal{J}(f)$ is the Julia set. By a result of Przytycki-Urbanski-Zdunik [PUZ89, Theorem 6], $\phi$ is not cohomologous to $\log d$ implies $\mu$ is singular with respect to $\Lambda_{\alpha}$. So we only need to show that $\phi$ is cohomologous to $\log d$ implies $f$ is exceptional. Now we assume $\phi-\log d=u \circ f-u$ for some $u \in L^{2}(\mathcal{J}(f), \mu)$. By a lemma due to Zdunik [Zdu90, Lemma 2], for every $p \notin P C(f)$, there exists a neighborhood $U$ of $p$ such that $u$ equals to a continuous function almost everywhere. We observe that if $\phi_{f}:=\alpha \log |d f|$ satisfy $\phi_{f}-\log d=u \circ f-u$ then $\phi_{f^{n}}:=\alpha \log \left|d f^{n}\right|$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{f^{n}}-n \log d=u \circ f^{n}-u . \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Passing to an iterate of $f$ there exists a repelling fixed point $o \notin$ $P C(f)$. Let $U$ be a linearization domain of $o$ such that $u$ is continous on $U$. Let $K$ be a horseshoe of $f$ contained in $U$. Passing to an iterate of $f$, we may assume $f(K)=K$. Since $u$ is continuous on $K$, by (7.1) the function $\log |d f|$ is cohomologous to a constant on $K$ in the sense of Definition 7.6. This implies $K$ is a linear CER. Since $K$ is not a finite set, by Theorem 1.1, $f$ is exceptional. The proof is finished.

## 8. Length spectrum as moduli

For $N \geq 1$, the symmetric group $S_{N}$ acts on $\mathbb{C}^{N}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ by permuting the coordinates. Using symmetric polynomials, one can show that $\mathbb{C}^{N} / S_{N} \simeq \mathbb{C}^{N}$. For every element $\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{N}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{N}$ (resp. $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ ), we denote by $\left\{\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{N}\right\}$ its image in $\mathbb{C}^{N} / S_{N}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\mathbb{R}^{N} / S_{N}\right)$. We may view the elements in $\mathbb{C}^{N} / S_{N}$ as multisets. ${ }^{4}$

For $d \geq 2$, let $f_{\text {Rat }_{d}}: \operatorname{Rat}_{d} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ be the endomorphism sending $(t, z)$ to $\left(t, f_{t}(z)\right)$ where $f_{t}$ is the endomorphism associated to $t \in \operatorname{Rat}_{d}$. For $t \in \operatorname{Rat}_{d}, f_{t}^{n}$ has $N_{n}:=d^{n}+1$ fixed points counted with multiplicities. Let $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{d^{n}+1}$ be the multipliers of such fixed points. Define $s_{n}(t)=$ $s_{n}\left(f_{t}\right):=\left\{\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{d^{n}+1}\right\} \in \mathbb{A}^{N_{n}} / S_{N_{n}}$ the $n$-th multiplier spectrum of $f_{t}$. Similarly, define $L_{n}(t)=L_{n}\left(f_{t}\right):=\left\{\left|\lambda_{1}\right|, \ldots,\left|\lambda_{d^{n}+1}\right|\right\} \in \mathbb{R}^{N_{n}} / S_{N_{n}}$ the $n$-th length spectrum of $f_{t}$. Both $s_{n}\left(f_{t}\right)$ and $L_{n}\left(f_{t}\right)$ only depend on the conjugacy class of $f_{t}$.

[^3]For every $n \geq 1$, let $\operatorname{Per}_{n}\left(f_{\text {Rat }_{d}}\right)$ be the closed subvariety of $\operatorname{Rat}_{d} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ of the $n$-periodic points of $f_{\text {Rat }_{d}}$. Let $\phi_{n}: \operatorname{Per}_{n}\left(f_{\text {Rat }_{d}}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Rat}_{d}$ be the first projection. It is a finite map of degree $d^{n}+1$. Let $\lambda_{n}$ : $\operatorname{Per}_{n}\left(f_{\text {Rat }_{d}}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{1}$ be the algebraic morphism $\left(f_{t}, x\right) \mapsto d f_{t}^{n}(x) \in \mathbb{A}^{1}$. Let $\left|\lambda_{n}\right|: \operatorname{Per}_{n}\left(f_{\operatorname{Rat}_{d}(\mathbb{C})}\right)(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow[0,+\infty)$ be the composition of $\lambda_{n}$ to the norm map $z \in \mathbb{C} \mapsto|z| \in[0,+\infty)$. A fixed point $x$ of $f_{t}^{n}$ has multiplicity $>1$ if and only if $d f_{t}^{n}(x)=1$. This shows that the map $\phi_{n}$ is étale at every point $x \in \operatorname{Per}_{n}\left(f_{\text {Rat }_{d}}\right) \backslash \lambda_{n}^{-1}(1)$.

We may view $\operatorname{Per}_{n}\left(f_{\text {Rat }_{d}}\right)$ as the moduli space of endomorphisms of degree $d$ with a marked $n$-periodic point. So we may also denote it by $\operatorname{Rat}_{d}[n]$ or $\operatorname{Rat}_{d}^{1}[n]$. More generally, for every $s=1, \ldots, d^{n}+1$, one may construct the moduli space $\operatorname{Rat}_{d}^{s}[n]$ of endomorphisms of degree $d$ with $s$ marked $n$-periodic point as follows: For $s=2, \ldots, d^{n}+1$, consider the fiber product $\left(\operatorname{Rat}_{d}[n]\right)_{/ \operatorname{Rat}_{d}}^{s}$ of $s$ copies of $\operatorname{Rat}_{d}[n]$ over $\operatorname{Rat}_{d}$. For $i \neq j \in\left\{1, \ldots, d^{n}+1\right\}$, let $\pi_{i, j}:\left(\operatorname{Rat}_{d}[n]\right)_{/ \operatorname{Rat}_{d}}^{s} \rightarrow\left(\operatorname{Rat}_{d}[n]\right)_{/ \operatorname{Rat}_{d}}^{2}$ be the projection to the $i, j$ coordinates. The diagonal $\Delta \subseteq\left(\operatorname{Rat}_{d}[n]\right)_{/ \operatorname{Rat}_{d}}^{2}$ is an irreducible component of $\left(\operatorname{Rat}_{d}[n]\right)_{/ \operatorname{Rat}_{d}}^{2}$. One define Rat ${ }_{d}^{S}[n]$ to be the Zariski closure of

$$
\left(\operatorname{Rat}_{d}[n]\right)_{/ \operatorname{Rat}_{d}}^{s} \backslash\left(\cup_{i \neq j \in\left\{1, \ldots, d^{n}+1\right\}} \pi_{i, j}^{-1}(\Delta)\right)
$$

in $\left(\operatorname{Rat}_{d}[n]\right)_{)_{\operatorname{Rat}_{d}} \text {. }}$ Denote by $\phi_{n}^{s}: \operatorname{Rat}_{d}^{s}[n] \rightarrow \operatorname{Rat}_{d}$ the morphism induced by $\phi_{n}$. Let $\lambda_{n}^{s}: \operatorname{Rat}_{d}^{s}[n] \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{s}$ the morphism defined by $\left(t, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{s}\right) \mapsto$ $\left(d f^{n}\left(x_{1}\right), \ldots, d f^{n}\left(x_{s}\right)\right)$ and $\left|\lambda_{n}^{s}\right|: \operatorname{Rat}_{d}^{s}[n](\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{s}$ the map defined by $\left(t, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{s}\right) \mapsto\left(\left|d f^{n}\left(x_{1}\right)\right|, \ldots,\left|d f^{n}\left(x_{s}\right)\right|\right)$. Since $\phi_{n}$ is étale at every point $x \in \operatorname{Per}_{n}\left(f_{\text {Rat }_{d}}\right) \backslash \lambda_{n}^{-1}(1), \phi_{n}^{s}$ is étale at every point $x \in$ $\left(\lambda_{n}^{s}\right)^{-1}\left(\left(\mathbb{A}^{1} \backslash\{1\}\right)^{s}\right)$.

To prove Theorem 1.5, we need to study the subsets taking form $\Lambda_{n}(a):=L_{n}^{-1}(a)$ where $a \in \mathbb{R}^{N_{n}} / S_{N_{n}}$. Since $L_{n}$ is not holomorphic (hence not algebraic), in general, the above set is not algebraic. To get some algebricity of $\Lambda_{n}(a)$, one can view $\operatorname{Rat}_{d}(\mathbb{C})$ as an real algebraic variety by splitting a complex variable $z$ into two real variety $x, y$ via $z=x+i y$. A more theoretic way to do this is using the notion of Weil restriction. See Section 8.1.1 for a brief introduction. However, even when we view $\operatorname{Rat}_{d}(\mathbb{C})$ as a real algebraic variety, $\Lambda_{n}(a)$ is not real algebraic in general (c.f. Theorem 8.10). Here real algebraic means Zariski closed when viewing $\operatorname{Rat}_{d}(\mathbb{C})$ as a real algebraic variety. See Section 8.1.1 for the precise definition. This is one of the main difficulty in the proof of Theorem 1.5. To solve this problem, we introduce a class of closed subsets of $\operatorname{Rat}_{d}(\mathbb{C})$ that are images of algebraic subsets under étale morphisms. We will study such subsets in Section 8.2.
8.1. An example of non-algebraic length level set. The main result of this section is Theorem 8.10, in which we give an example to show that the subsets $\Lambda_{n}(a)$ may not be real algebraic in $\operatorname{Rat}_{d}(\mathbb{C})^{5}$.

Except Definition 8.1, in which we give a precise definition of the notion real algebraic using Weil restriction, this section will not be used in the rest of the paper.
8.1.1. Weil restriction. We briefly recall the notion of Weil restriction. See [Poo17, Section 4.6] and [BLR90, Section 7.6] for more information.

Denote by $\operatorname{Var}_{/ \mathbb{C}}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\operatorname{Var}_{/ \mathbb{R}}\right)$ the category of varieties over $\mathbb{C}$ (resp. $\mathbb{R})$. For every variety $X$ over $\mathbb{C}$, there is a unique variety $R(X)$ over $\mathbb{R}$ represents the functor $V a r_{/ \mathbb{R}} \rightarrow$ Sets sending $V \in \operatorname{Var}_{/ \mathbb{R}}$ to $\operatorname{Hom}\left(V \otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\right.$ $\mathbb{C}, X)$. It is called the Weil restriction of $X$. The functor $X \mapsto R(X)$ is called the Weil restriction. One has the canonical morphism $\tau_{X}$ : $X(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow R(X)(\mathbb{R})$, which is a real analytic diffeomorphism. One may view $X(\mathbb{C})$ as a real algebraic variety via $\tau_{X}$.

Definition 8.1. The real Zariski topology on $X(\mathbb{C})$ is the restriction of the Zariski topology on $R(X)$ via $\tau_{X}$. A subset $Y$ of $X(\mathbb{C})$ is real algebraic if it is closed in the real Zariski topology.

By (iii) of Proposition 8.3 below, the real Zariski topology is stronger than the Zariski topology on $X(\mathbb{C})$.

Roughly speaking, the Weil restriction is just constructed by splitting a complex variable $z$ into two real variables $x, y$ via $z=x+i y$. For the convenience of the reader, in the following example, we show the concrete construction of $R(X)$ when $X$ is affine.

Example 8.2. First assume that $X=\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{N}$. Then $R(X)=\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{R}}^{2 N}$. The map

$$
\tau_{X}: \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{N}(\mathbb{C})=\mathbb{C}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{R}}^{2 N}(\mathbb{R})=\mathbb{R}^{2 N}
$$

sends $\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{N}\right)$ to $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}, x_{2}, y_{2}, \ldots, x_{N}, y_{N}\right)$ where $z_{j}=x_{j}+i y_{j}$.
Consider the algebra $\mathbb{B}:=\mathbb{C}[I] /\left(I^{2}+1\right) \simeq \mathbb{C} \oplus I \mathbb{C}$. Every $f \in$ $\mathbb{C}\left[z_{1}, \ldots, z_{N}\right]$ defines an element

$$
F:=f\left(x_{1}+I y_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}+I y_{N}\right) \in \mathbb{B}\left[x_{1}, y_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}, y_{N}\right] .
$$

Since

$$
\mathbb{B}\left[x_{1}, y_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}, y_{N}\right]=\mathbb{C}\left[x_{1}, y_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}, y_{N}\right] \oplus I \mathbb{C}\left[x_{1}, y_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}, y_{N}\right]
$$

$F$ can be uniquely decomposed to $F=r(f)+I i(f)$ where $r(f), i(f) \in$ $\mathbb{C}\left[x_{1}, y_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}, y_{N}\right]$.

[^4]If $X$ is the closed subvariety of $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{N}=\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}\left[z_{1}, \ldots, z_{M}\right]$ defined by the ideal $\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{s}\right)$, then $R(X)$ is the closed subvariety of $R\left(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{N}\right)=$ $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{R}}^{2 N}=\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{R}\left[x_{1}, y_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}, y_{N}\right]$ defined by the ideal generated by $r\left(f_{1}\right), i\left(f_{1}\right), \ldots, r\left(f_{s}\right), i\left(f_{s}\right)$.

We list some basic properties of Weil restriction without proof.
Proposition 8.3. Let $X, Y \in \operatorname{Var}_{/ \mathbb{C}}$, then we have the following properties:
(i) if $X$ is irreducible, then $R(X)$ is irreducible;
(ii) $\operatorname{dim} R(X)=2 \operatorname{dim} X$;
(iii) if $f: Y \rightarrow X$ is a closed (resp. open) immersion, then the induced morphism $R(f): R(Y) \rightarrow R(X)$ is a closed (resp. open) immersion.

Then we get the following easy consequence.
Lemma 8.4. Let $Y \in V a r_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $X$ be a closed subset $Y$. Then $R(X)$ is the Zariski closure of $X(\mathbb{C})=R(X)(\mathbb{R})$ in $R(Y)$.

Proof. We may assume that $X$ and $Y$ are irreducible. It is clear that $R(X)(\mathbb{R}) \subseteq R(X)$. So $\overline{R(X)(\mathbb{R})}^{\text {zar }} \subseteq R(X)$. Since

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{R}} \overline{R(X)(\mathbb{R})}^{\text {zar }} \geq \operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{R}} R(X)(\mathbb{R})=2 \operatorname{dim} X=\operatorname{dim} R(X)
$$

and $R(X)$ is irreducible, we get $\overline{R(X)(\mathbb{R})}^{\text {zar }}=R(X)$.
We denote by $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{C} / \mathbb{R})$ the complex conjugation $z \mapsto \bar{z}$. For every complex variety $X$, one denote by $X^{\sigma}$ the base change of $X$ by the field extension $\sigma: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. This induces a morphism of schemes (over $\mathbb{Z}$ ) $\sigma: X^{\sigma} \rightarrow X$. It is not a morphism of schemes over $\mathbb{C}$. It is clear that $\left(X^{\sigma}\right)^{\sigma}=X$.

Example 8.5. If $X$ is the subvariety of $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{N}=\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}\left[z_{1}, \ldots, z_{N}\right]$ defined by the equations $\sum_{I} a_{i, I} z^{I}=0, i=1, \ldots, s$ Then $X^{\sigma}$ is the subvariety of $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{N}$ defined by $\sum_{I} \overline{a_{i, I}} z^{I}=0, i=1, \ldots, s$. The map $\sigma$ : $X=\left(X^{\sigma}\right)^{\sigma} \rightarrow X^{\sigma}$ sends a point $\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{N}\right) \in X(\mathbb{C})$ to $\left(\overline{z_{1}}, \ldots, \overline{z_{N}}\right) \in$ $X^{\sigma}(\mathbb{C})$.

The following result due to Weil is useful for computing the Weil restriction.

Proposition 8.6. [Poo17, Exercise 4.7] We have a canonical isomorphism

$$
R(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} \simeq X \times X^{\sigma}
$$

Under this isomorphism,

$$
R(X)(\mathbb{R})=\left\{\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \in X(\mathbb{C}) \times X^{\sigma}(\mathbb{C}) \mid z_{2}=\sigma\left(z_{1}\right)\right\}
$$

and $\tau_{X}$ sends $z \in X(\mathbb{C})$ to $(z, \sigma(z)) \in R(X)(\mathbb{R})$.
8.1.2. The norm map. For $N \geq 1$, let $\nu_{N}: \mathbb{C}^{N} / S_{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N} / S_{N}$ be the real analytic map sending $\left\{z_{1}, \ldots, z_{N}\right\}$ to $\left\{\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}, \ldots,\left|z_{N}\right|^{2}\right\}$. We view $\mathbb{C}^{N} / S_{N}$ as a real algebraic variety via the identification

$$
\mathbb{C}^{N} / S_{N}=\left(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{N} / S_{N}\right)(\mathbb{C})=R\left(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{N} / S_{N}\right)(\mathbb{R}) \subseteq R\left(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{N} / S_{N}\right)(\mathbb{C})
$$

The following result is the aim of this section. We postpone its proof to the end of this section.

Proposition 8.7. For $a:=\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{N}\right\} \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{N} / S_{N}, \nu_{N}^{-1}(a)$ is real Zariski closed if and only if $N=1$ or $N=2$ and $a_{1} \neq a_{2}$.

Set $X:=R\left(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{N} / S_{N}\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}=\left(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{N} / S_{N}\right) \times\left(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{N} / S_{N}\right)$. (Since $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{N} / S_{N}$ is defined over $\mathbb{R}$ we have $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{N} / S_{N}=\left(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{N} / S_{N}\right)^{\sigma}$.) Consider the quotient morphisms $q_{1}: \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{N} / S_{N}$ defined by

$$
\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{N}\right) \mapsto\left\{z_{1}, \ldots, z_{N}\right\}
$$

and $q_{2}: \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{N} \times \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{N} \rightarrow X$ defined by

$$
\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{N} ; v_{1}, \ldots, v_{N}\right) \mapsto\left(\left\{u_{1}, \ldots, u_{N}\right\},\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{N}\right\}\right)
$$

Consider the morphism $\mu_{N}: \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{N} \times \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{N}$ defined by

$$
\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{N} ; v_{1}, \ldots, v_{N}\right) \mapsto\left(u_{1} v_{1}, \ldots, u_{N} v_{N}\right)
$$

Let $\Gamma_{\mu_{N}}$ be the graph of $\mu_{N}$ in $\left(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{N} \times \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{N}\right) \times \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{N}$. Set $\Gamma_{N}=\left(q_{2} \times\right.$ $\left.q_{1}\right)\left(\Gamma_{\mu_{N}}\right) \subseteq X \times\left(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{N} / S_{N}\right)$. Since $q_{2} \times q_{1}$ is finite, $\Gamma_{N}$ is an irreducible closed subvariety of $X \times\left(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{N} / S_{N}\right)$. We view it as a correspondence between $X$ and $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{N} / S_{N}$.

Let $\pi_{1}: X \times\left(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{N} / S_{N}\right) \rightarrow X$ and $\pi_{2}: X \times\left(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{N} / S_{N}\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{N} / S_{N}\right)$ be the first and the second projection. Then $\left.\pi_{1}\right|_{\Gamma_{N}}$ is a finite morphism of degree $N$ !. For every $x \in X$, the image of $x$ under $\Gamma_{N}$ is $\Gamma_{N}(x):=\pi_{2}\left(\Gamma_{N} \cap \pi_{1}^{-1}(x)\right)$. For a general $x \in X(\mathbb{C}), \Gamma_{N}(x)$ has $N!$ points. Similarly, for every $y \in \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{N} / S_{N}$, the preimage of $y$ under $\Gamma_{N}$ is $\Gamma_{N}^{-1}(y):=\pi_{1}\left(\Gamma_{N} \cap \pi_{2}^{-1}(y)\right)$.
Lemma 8.8. For every $a=\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{N}\right\} \in\left(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{N} / S_{N}\right)(\mathbb{C})$ with $a_{i} \neq$ $0, i=1, \ldots, N, \Gamma_{N}^{-1}(a)$ is irreducible and of dimension $N$.
Proof. Consider the actions of $g \in S_{N}$ on $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{N} \times \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{N}$ by

$$
g \cdot\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{N} ; v_{1}, \ldots, v_{N}\right)=\left(u_{g(1)}, \ldots, u_{g(N)} ; v_{g(1)}, \ldots, v_{g(N)}\right)
$$

and on $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{N}$ by $g \cdot\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{N}\right)=\left(z_{g(1)}, \ldots, z_{g(N)}\right)$. Then we have

$$
q_{1}(g \cdot x)=q_{1}(x), q_{2}(g \cdot x)=q_{2}(x) .
$$

Since

$$
\Gamma_{N}^{-1}(a)=q_{2}\left(\mu_{N}^{-1}\left(q_{1}^{-1}\left(\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{N}\right\}\right)\right)\right)
$$

and

$$
q_{1}^{-1}\left(\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{N}\right\}\right)=\left\{g \cdot\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{N}\right) \mid g \in S_{N}\right\}
$$

we get $\Gamma_{N}^{-1}(a)=q_{2}\left(\mu_{N}^{-1}\left(\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{N}\right)\right)\right)$. Since $\mu_{N}^{-1}\left(\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{N}\right)\right)$ is defined by $u_{i} v_{i}=a_{i}, i=1, \ldots, N$, it is isomorphic to $\left(\mathbb{A}^{1} \backslash\{0\}\right)^{N}$, which is irreducible. Since $q_{2}$ is finite, $\Gamma_{N}^{-1}(a)$ is irreducible of dimension $N$.

For $a=\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{N}\right\} \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{N} / S_{N} \subseteq\left(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{N} / S_{N}\right)(\mathbb{R})$, we have

$$
\Gamma_{N}^{-1}(a)(\mathbb{R})=\Gamma_{N}^{-1}(a) \cap X(\mathbb{R})=\cup_{g \in S_{N}} V_{N, g}(a)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{N, g}(a)=q_{2}\left(\left\{\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{N} ; \overline{u_{1}}, \ldots, \overline{u_{N}}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{2 N} \mid u_{i} \overline{u_{g(i)}}=a_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq N\right\}\right) \\
& =\left\{\left(\left\{u_{1}, \ldots, u_{N}\right\},\left\{\overline{u_{1}}, \ldots, \overline{u_{N}}\right\}\right) \in R(X)(\mathbb{R}) \mid u_{i} \overline{u_{g(i)}}=a_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq N\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

We note that, if $g_{1}, g_{2} \in S_{N}$ are conjugate, then $V_{N, g_{1}}(a)=V_{N, g_{2}}(a)$. For every $g \in S_{N}$, it can be uniquely written as a product of disjoint cycles, i.e. there is a partition $\{1, \ldots, N\}=\sqcup_{i=1}^{s} I_{i}$ such that $g=$ $\sigma_{1} \cdots \sigma_{s}$ where $\sigma_{i}$ acts trivially outside $I_{i}$ and transitively on $I_{i}$. Set
$Z_{N, g}(a):=\left\{\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{N} ; \overline{u_{1}}, \ldots, \overline{u_{N}}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{2 N} \mid u_{i} \overline{u_{g(i)}}=a_{i}, i=1, \ldots, N\right\}$, then $V_{N, g}(a)=q_{2}\left(Z_{N, g}(a)\right)$.

For $i=1, \ldots, s$, set $m_{i}:=\# I_{i}$ and write $I_{i}=\left\{j_{1}, \ldots, j_{m_{i}}\right\}$ with $\sigma\left(j_{n}\right)=j_{n+1}$, here the index $n$ is viewed in $\mathbb{Z} / m_{i} \mathbb{Z}$. We define $Z_{i}, i=$ $1, \ldots, s$ as follows:
$\left(E_{0}\right):$ If $m_{i}$ is even and $\sum_{n=1}^{m_{i}}(-1)^{n} \log a_{j_{n}} \neq 0, Z_{i}:=\emptyset$.
$\left(E_{1}\right):$ If $m_{i}$ is even and $\sum_{n=1}^{n=1}(-1)^{n} \log a_{j_{n}}=0$, then $Z_{i}$ is the set of points taking forms $(U, \bar{U}) \in \mathbb{C}^{I_{i}} \times \mathbb{C}^{I_{i}}$ where

$$
U=\left(r_{j_{1}} e^{i \theta}, a_{1} r_{j_{1}}^{-1} e^{i \theta}, a_{2} a_{1}^{-1} r_{j_{1}} e^{i \theta}, \ldots, a_{j_{m_{i}-1}} a_{j_{m_{i}-2}}^{-1} \ldots a_{1} r_{j_{i}}^{-1} e^{i \theta}\right)
$$

for some $r_{j_{1}} \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ and $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence $Z_{i} \simeq \mathbb{R}_{>0} \times(\mathbb{R} / \mathbb{Z})$.
$(O):$ If $m_{i}$ is odd, then $Z_{i}$ is the set of points taking forms $(U, \bar{U}) \in \mathbb{C}^{I_{i}} \times \mathbb{C}^{I_{i}}$ where

$$
U=\left(r_{j_{1}} e^{i \theta}, \ldots, r_{j_{m_{i}}} e^{i \theta}\right), r_{j_{n}}=\left(\prod_{l=0}^{m_{i}-1} a_{j_{n+l}}^{(-1)^{l}}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

for some $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence $Z_{i} \simeq \mathbb{R} / \mathbb{Z}$.

Easy to show that

$$
Z_{N, g}(a)=\prod_{i=1}^{s} Z_{i} .
$$

Let $e_{0}(g), e_{1}(g)$ and $o(g)$ be the number of $i$ fall into the cases $\left(E_{0}\right),\left(E_{1}\right)$ and $(O)$ respectively. Then $Z_{N, g}(a)=\emptyset$ if $e_{0}(g)>0$, otherwise

$$
Z_{N, g}(a) \simeq \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{e_{1}(g)} \times(\mathbb{R} / \mathbb{Z})^{e_{1}(g)+o(g)}
$$

Lemma 8.9. We have $V_{N, \text { id }}(a)=\nu_{N}^{-1}(a)$ and it is Zariski dense in $\Gamma_{N}^{-1}(a)$.

Proof. It is clear that $V_{N, \text { id }}(a)=\nu_{N}^{-1}(a)$. By Lemma 8.8, $\Gamma_{N}^{-1}(a)$ is irreducible and of dimension $N$. Since $Z_{N, \text { id }}(a) \simeq(\mathbb{R} / \mathbb{Z})^{N}, V_{N, \text { id }}(a)=$ $q_{2}\left(Z_{N, \mathrm{id}}(a)\right)$ is of dimension $N$. Then it is Zariski dense in $\Gamma_{N}^{-1}(a)$.

Proof of Proposition 8.7. By Lemma 8.9, $\nu_{N}^{-1}(a)=V_{N, \text { id }}(a)$ is Zariski closed if and only if $V_{N, g}(a) \subseteq V_{N, \text { id }}(a)$ for every $g \in S_{N}$.

The case $N=1$ is trivial. If $N=2$ and $a_{1} \neq a_{2}$, then $e_{0}(g)>0$ for $g \in S_{2} \backslash\{\mathrm{id}\}$. Hence $V_{N, \text { id }}(a)$ is Zariski closed. If there is $i \neq j$ with $a_{i}=a_{j}$, let $g:=(i, j) \in S^{N}$. Then

$$
Z_{N, g}(a) \simeq \mathbb{R}_{>0} \times(\mathbb{R} / \mathbb{Z})^{N-1}
$$

which is not compact. Since $q_{2}$ is finite, $q_{2}\left(Z_{N, g}(a)\right)$ is closed but not compact. Hence it does not contained in $V_{N, \text { id }}(a)$.

Now we may assume that $N \geq 3$ and $a_{i} \neq a_{j}$ for every $i \neq j$. We may assume that $a_{1}>a_{2}>a_{3}$ and $a_{1}=\max \left\{a_{i}, i=1, \ldots, N\right\}$. Then for every $\left(\left\{u_{1}, \ldots, u_{N}\right\},\left\{\overline{u_{1}}, \ldots, \overline{u_{N}}\right\}\right) \in V_{N, \text { id }}(a)$ We have

$$
\max \left\{\left|u_{i}\right|, i=1, \ldots, N\right\}=a_{1}^{1 / 2}
$$

Pick $g=(1,2,3) \in S_{N}$. Then $Z_{N, \text { id }}(a) \neq \emptyset$ and for every point $\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{N} ; \overline{u_{1}}, \ldots, \overline{u_{N}}\right) \in Z_{N, g}(a)$, we have

$$
\max \left\{\left|u_{i}\right|, i=1, \ldots, N\right\} \geq\left|u_{2}\right|=\left(a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}^{-1}\right)^{1 / 2}>a_{1}^{1 / 2}
$$

Since $V_{N, \text { id }}(a)=q_{2}\left(Z_{N, \text { id }}(a)\right), V_{N, g}(a) \cap V_{N, \text { id }}(a)=\emptyset$. Hence $V_{N, \mathrm{id}}(a)$ is not Zariski closed.
8.1.3. The example. In this section, we focus on the first length spectrum map $L_{1}: \operatorname{Rat}_{2}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{3} / S_{3}$. We view $\operatorname{Rat}_{2}(\mathbb{C})$ as a real algebraic variety via identifying $\operatorname{Rat}_{2}(\mathbb{C})$ with $R\left(\operatorname{Rat}_{2}\right)(\mathbb{R})$

Theorem 8.10. For $a \in(1, \sqrt{2}), L_{1}^{-1}(\{a, a, a\})$ is not real algebraic in $\operatorname{Rat}_{2}(\mathbb{C})$.

Proof. We follows the notations in Section 8.1.2.
Recall the first multiplier spectrum map $s_{1}: \operatorname{Rat}_{2}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{A}^{3} / S_{3}\right)(\mathbb{C})$. Then $L_{1}^{-1}(\{a, a, a\})=s_{1}^{-1}\left(\nu_{3}^{-1}\left(\left\{a^{2}, a^{2}, a^{2}\right\}\right)\right)$. Set $b:=\left\{a^{2}, a^{2}, a^{2}\right\}$. Since $s_{1}$ factors through the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{2}(\mathbb{C})$, there is a morphism $\left[s_{1}\right]: \mathcal{M}_{2}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{A}^{3} / S_{3}\right)(\mathbb{C})$ such that $\left[s_{1}\right] \circ \Psi_{2}=s_{1}$. It was proved by Milnor[Mil93] that [ $s_{1}$ ] is an isomorphism to its image $M$ (see also [Sil12, Theorem 2.4.5]). Moreover, by [Sil12, Theorem 2.4.5 and Lemma 2.4.6], $M=q_{1}\left(Y_{0}\right)$ and $R(M)=q_{2}\left(R\left(Y_{0}\right)\right)$, where
$Y_{0}=\left\{\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{3} \mid z_{1} z_{2} z_{3}=z_{1}+z_{2}+z_{3}-2, z_{1} z_{2} \neq 1\right\} \cup\left\{\left(1,1, z_{3}\right)\right\}$.
Set $Y:=\left\{\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{3} \mid z_{1} z_{2} z_{3}=z_{1}+z_{2}+z_{3}-2\right\}$ which is the Zariski closure of $Y_{0}$. The Zariski closure of $R(M)$ in $R\left(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{3} / S_{3}\right)$ is $q_{2}(R(Y))$.
Lemma 8.11. The intersection $q_{2}(R(Y)) \cap \Gamma_{3}^{-1}(b)$ is irreducible of dimension 1.

Proof. Observe that $\left(q_{2}(R(Y)) \cap \Gamma_{3}^{-1}(b)\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}=q_{2}(Z)$ where $Z$ is the closed subset of $R\left(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{3}\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}=\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{3} \times \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{3}=\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}\left[u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}\right]$ defined by the following equations:
(i) $u_{1} u_{2} u_{3}=u_{1}+u_{2}+u_{3}-2$;
(ii) $v_{1} v_{2} v_{3}=v_{1}+v_{2}+v_{3}-2$;
(iii) $u_{1} v_{1}=a$;
(iv) $u_{2} v_{2}=a$;
(v) $u_{3} v_{3}=a$.

Using symmetric polynomials, one may write

$$
R\left(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{3} / S_{3}\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}=\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{3} / S_{3} \times \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{3} / S_{3}
$$

as

$$
\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{3} \times \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{3}=\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}\left[x, y, z, x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}, z^{\prime}\right]
$$

and in this coordinate, $q_{2}$ is given by $x \mapsto u_{1}+u_{2}+u_{3}, y \mapsto u_{1} u_{2}+$ $u_{1} u_{3}+u_{2} u_{3}, z \mapsto u_{1} u_{2} u_{3}, x^{\prime} \mapsto v_{1}+v_{2}+v_{3}, y^{\prime} \mapsto v_{1} v_{2}+v_{1} v_{3}+v_{2} v_{3}$ and $z^{\prime} \mapsto v_{1} v_{2} v_{3}$. One may compute that $q_{2}(Z)$ is defined by the following equations:
(i) $z \neq 0$;
(ii) $x=z+2$;
(iii) $y=\left(2 z+a^{3}\right) / a$;
(iv) $x^{\prime}=a^{3} / z+2$;
(v) $y^{\prime}=a^{2}(z+2) / z$;
(vi) $z^{\prime}=a^{3} / z$.

Then it is irreducible of dimension 1 since it is parametrized by a single variable $z$.

Then $R(M) \cap \Gamma_{3}^{-1}(b)$ is irreducible, and if this intersection is nonempty, it is of dimension 1 . We note that

$$
\nu_{3}^{-1}(b)=M \cap q_{2}\left(Z_{3, \mathrm{id}}(b)\right) .
$$

Let $g=(1,2) \in S_{3}$. We have

$$
M \cap\left(q_{2}\left(Z_{3, \mathrm{id}}(b)\right) \cup q_{2}\left(Z_{3, g}(b)\right)\right) \subseteq\left(R(M) \cap \Gamma_{3}^{-1}(b)\right)(\mathbb{R}) .
$$

Lemma 8.12. Both $M \cap q_{2}\left(Z_{3, \mathrm{id}}(b)\right)$ and $M \cap q_{2}\left(Z_{3, g}(b)\right)$ are infinite and $M \cap q_{2}\left(Z_{3, g}(b)\right) \nsubseteq M \cap q_{2}\left(Z_{3, \mathrm{id}}(b)\right)$.
Proof. Since $q_{2}$ is finite, we only need to show that $Y_{0} \cap Z_{3, \mathrm{id}}(b)$ and $Y \cap Z_{3, g}(b)$ are infinite and $M \cap q_{2}\left(Z_{3, g}(b)\right) \nsubseteq M \cap q_{2}\left(Z_{3, \text { id }}(b)\right)$.

Since $a>1$, one may compute that $Y_{0} \cap Z_{3, \mathrm{id}}(b)=Y \cap Z_{3, \mathrm{id}}(b)$ and it is the set of points $\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{3}$ satisfying the following equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1} u_{2} u_{3}=u_{1}+u_{2}+u_{3}-2 \text { and }\left|u_{1}\right|=\left|u_{2}\right|=\left|u_{3}\right|=a . \tag{8.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider the function $F:[0, \pi]^{2} \rightarrow[0,+\infty)$ given by

$$
F:\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right) \mapsto\left|\frac{a\left(e^{i \theta_{1}}+e^{i \theta_{2}}\right)-2}{a^{3} e^{i\left(\theta_{1}+\theta_{2}\right)}-a}\right| .
$$

Since $a>1$, it is well-defined and continuous. We have

$$
F(0,0)=\left|(2 a-2) /\left(a^{3}-a\right)\right|=\frac{2}{a(a+1)}<1
$$

and

$$
F(\pi, \pi)=\left|(-2 a-2) /\left(a^{3}-a\right)\right|=\frac{2}{a(a-1)}>1 .
$$

There is $\beta \in(0, \pi / 2)$ such that for every $\alpha \in[0, \beta]$, we have

$$
F(0, \alpha)<1 \text { and } F(\pi-\alpha, \pi)>1 .
$$

Hence for every $\alpha \in[0, \beta]$, there is $\theta(\alpha) \in[0, \pi-\alpha]$ such that

$$
F(\theta(\alpha), \theta(\alpha)+\alpha)=1 .
$$

One may check that

$$
u_{1}=a e^{i \theta(\alpha)}, u_{2}=a e^{i \theta(\alpha)+\alpha}, u_{3}=a \frac{a\left(e^{i \theta(\alpha)}+e^{i \theta(\alpha)+\alpha}\right)-2}{a^{3} e^{i(2 \theta(\alpha)+\alpha)}-a}, \alpha \in[0, \beta]
$$

are infinitely many distinct solutions of (8.1). So $Y_{0} \cap Z_{3, \text {,dd }}(b)$ is infinite.
Since $a>1$, one may compute that $Y_{0} \cap Z_{3, g}(b)=Y \cap Z_{3, g}(b)$ and it is the set of points $\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{3}$ satisfying the following equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1} u_{2} u_{3}=u_{1}+u_{2}+u_{3}-2 \text { and } u_{1} \overline{u_{2}}=\left|u_{3}\right|^{2}=a^{2} . \tag{8.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider the function $G: \mathbb{R}_{>0} \times[0, \pi] \rightarrow[0,+\infty)$ given by

$$
G:(r, \theta) \mapsto\left|\frac{a(r+1 / r) e^{i \theta}-2}{a^{3} e^{2 i \theta}-a}\right| .
$$

Since $a>1$, it is well-defined and continuous. We note that $G(1, \theta)=$ $F(\theta, \theta)$ for $\theta \in[0, \pi]$. So $G(1,0)<1$ and $G(1, \pi)>1$. There is $R>1$ such that for every $r \in[1, R], G(r, 0)<1$ and $G(r, \pi)>1$. Then for every $r \in[1, R]$, there is $\theta_{r} \in[0, \pi]$ such that $G\left(r, \theta_{r}\right)=1$.

One may check that
$u_{1}(r)=a r e^{i \theta_{r}}, u_{2}(r)=a r^{-1} e^{i \theta_{r}}, u_{3}(r)=a \frac{a(r+1 / r) e^{i \theta_{r}}-2}{a^{3} e^{2 i \theta_{r}}-a}, r \in[1, R]$
are infinitely many distinct solutions of (8.1). So $Y_{0} \cap Z_{3, g}(b)$ is infinite. Moreover, if $r>1$, then $\max \left\{\left|u_{1}(r)\right|,\left|u_{2}(r)\right|,\left|u_{3}(r)\right|\right\}=a r>a$, so $\left\{u_{1}(r), u_{2}(r), u_{3}(r)\right\} \in\left(M \cap q_{2}\left(Z_{3, g}(b)\right)\right) \backslash\left(M \cap q_{2}\left(Z_{3, \text { id }}(b)\right)\right)$. This concludes the proof.

Since $M \cap q_{2}\left(Z_{3, \mathrm{id}}(b)\right)$ is infinite and $\operatorname{dim} R(M) \cap \Gamma_{3}^{-1}(b)=1$, the Zariski closure of $M \cap q_{2}\left(Z_{3, \text { id }}(b)\right)$ in $R(M)$ is $R(M) \cap \Gamma_{3}^{-1}(b)$ but $M \cap q_{2}\left(Z_{3, \text { id }}(b)\right) \subsetneq\left(R(M) \cap \Gamma_{3}^{-1}(b)\right)(\mathbb{R})$. So $L_{1}^{-1}(\{a, a, a\})=s_{1}^{-1}(M \cap$ $\left.q_{2}\left(Z_{3, \text { id }}(b)\right)\right)$ is Zariski dense in $R\left(s_{1}\right)^{-1}\left(R(M) \cap \Gamma_{3}^{-1}(b)\right)$, where $R\left(s_{1}\right)$ : $R\left(\mathrm{Rat}_{2}\right) \rightarrow R(M)$ is induced by $s_{1}$. Since $M \cap q_{2}\left(Z_{3, \mathrm{id}}(b)\right) \subsetneq(R(M) \cap$ $\left.\Gamma_{3}^{-1}(b)\right)(\mathbb{R})$ and $M$ is the image of $s_{1}, L_{1}^{-1}(\{a, a, a\}) \subsetneq R\left(s_{1}\right)^{-1}(R(M) \cap$ $\left.\Gamma_{3}^{-1}(b)\right)$. This concludes the proof.
8.2. Images of algebraic subsets under étale morphisms. Let $X$ be a variety over $\mathbb{R}$. A closed subset $V$ of $X(\mathbb{R})$ is called admissible if there is a morphism $f: Y \rightarrow X$ of real algebraic varieties and a Zariski closed subset $V^{\prime} \subseteq Y$ such that $V=f\left(V^{\prime}(\mathbb{R})\right)$ and $f$ is étale at every point in $V^{\prime}(\mathbb{R})$.

Every algebraic subset of $X(\mathbb{R})$ is admissible.
Remark 8.13. Denote by $J$ the non-étale locus for $f$ in $V$. We have $J \cap V(\mathbb{R})=\emptyset$. Since we may replace $V$ by $V \backslash J$, in the above definition we may further assume that $f$ is étale.

Remark 8.14. Let $Y$ be a Zariski closed subset of $X$. Since étale morphisms are preserved under base changes, if $V$ is admissible as a subset of $X(\mathbb{R})$, it is admissible as a subset of $Y(\mathbb{R})$.

Remark 8.15. An admissible subset is semialgebraic. So it has finitely many connected components.

Proposition 8.16. Let $V_{1}, V_{2}$ be two admissible closed subsets of $X(\mathbb{R})$. Then $V_{1} \cap V_{2}$ is admissible.

Proof. There is a morphism $f_{i}: Y_{i} \rightarrow X, i=1,2$ of algebraic varieties and a Zariski closed subset $V_{i}^{\prime} \subseteq Y_{i}$ such that $V_{i}=f\left(V_{i}^{\prime}(\mathbb{R})\right)$ and $f_{i}$ is étale. Then the fiber product $f: Y_{1} \times_{X} Y_{2} \rightarrow X$ is étale. Since

$$
V_{1} \cap V_{2}=f_{1}\left(V_{1}^{\prime}(\mathbb{R})\right) \cap f_{2}\left(V_{2}^{\prime}(\mathbb{R})\right)=f\left(\left(V_{1}^{\prime} \times_{X} V_{2}^{\prime}\right)(\mathbb{R})\right),
$$

$V_{1} \cap V_{2}$ is admissible.
The key result in this section is the following, which shows that admissible subsets satisfy the descending chain condition.

Theorem 8.17. Let $V_{n}, n \geq 0$ be a sequence of decreasing admissible subsets of $X(\mathbb{R})$. Then there is $N \geq 0$ such that $V_{n}=V_{N}$ for all $n \geq N$.

We need the following lemma.
Lemma 8.18. Let $V$ be an admissible closed subset of $X(\mathbb{R})$. Assume that $X$ and $\bar{V}^{\text {zar }}$ are smooth. Then $V$ is a finite union of connected components of $\bar{V}^{\mathrm{zar}}(\mathbb{R})$.

Proof. Since $\bar{V}^{\text {zar }}$ is smooth, different irreducible components of $\bar{V}^{\text {zar }}$ do not meet. So we may assume that $\bar{V}^{z a r}$ is irreducible of dimension $d$. Hence $\bar{V}^{\text {zar }}(\mathbb{R})$ is smooth, it is of dimension $d$ everywhere.

There is a morphism $f: Y \rightarrow X$ of algebraic varieties and a Zariski closed subset $V^{\prime} \subseteq Y$ such that $V=f\left(V^{\prime}(\mathbb{R})\right)$ and $f$ is étale at every point in $V^{\prime}(\mathbb{R})$. After replacing $V^{\prime}$ by $\overline{V^{\prime}(\mathbb{R})}$ zar , we may assume that $V^{\prime}(\mathbb{R})$ is Zariski dense in $V^{\prime}$.

For $x \in V$, there is $y \in V^{\prime}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $V^{\prime}(\mathbb{R})$ has dimension $d$ at $y$. Since $f$ is étale, $f^{-1}\left(\bar{V}^{\text {zar }}(\mathbb{R})\right)$ is smooth and of dimension $d$. Hence $V^{\prime}$ coincides with $f^{-1}\left(\bar{V}^{\text {zar }}\right)$ in some Zariski open neighborhood of $y$. So $V^{\prime}(\mathbb{R})$ is smooth at $y$. It follows that $f$ maps some Euclidean neighborhood of $y$ in $V^{\prime}(\mathbb{R})$ to some Euclidean neighborhood of $x$ in $\bar{V}^{\text {zar }}(\mathbb{R})$. This shows that $V$ is open in $\bar{V}^{\text {zar }}(\mathbb{R})$. Then $V$ is a finite union of connected components of $\bar{V}^{\text {zar }}(\mathbb{R})$.

Proof of Theorem 8.17. We do the proof by induction on $\operatorname{dim} X$. When $\operatorname{dim} X=0$, Theorem 8.17 is trivial.

There is $N \geq 0$ such that ${\overline{V_{n}}}^{\text {zar }}$ are the same for $n \geq N$. After remov$\operatorname{ing} V_{n}, n=1, \ldots, N$, we may assume that ${\overline{V_{n}}}^{\text {zar }}, n \geq 0$ are the same variety. After replacing $X$ by this variety, we may assume that ${\overline{V_{n}}}^{\text {zar }}=X$ for all $n \geq 0$. Let $X_{0}, X_{1}$ be the smooth and singular part of $X$. We only need to show that both $V_{n} \cap X_{0}(\mathbb{R}), n \geq 0$ and $V_{n} \cap X_{1}(\mathbb{R}), n \geq 0$ are stable for $n$ large. Since $\operatorname{dim} X_{1}<\operatorname{dim} X, V_{n} \cap X_{1}(\mathbb{R}), n \geq 0$ is stable for $n$ large by the induction hypothesis. Since $X_{0}$ is smooth, by Lemma 8.18, every $V_{n}$ is a union of connected components of $X_{0}(\mathbb{R})$.

Since $X_{0}(\mathbb{R})$ has at most finitely many connected components, we conclude the proof.

Remark 8.19. Theorem 8.17 does not hold for general semialgebraic subsets. The following example shows that it does not hold even for images of algebraic subsets under finite morphisms. For $n \geq 0$, set $Z_{n}:=[n, \infty) \subseteq \mathbb{A}^{1}(\mathbb{R})$. They are the images of $\mathbb{A}^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ under the finite morphisms $z \mapsto z^{2}+n, n \geq 0$. We have $Z_{n+1} \subset Z_{n}$ but $\cap_{n \geq 0} Z_{n}=\emptyset$.

Let $d \geq 2$. We now view $\operatorname{Rat}_{d}(\mathbb{C})$ as a real variety and study the locus in it with given length spectrum. For $n \geq 1, s=1, \ldots, N_{n}$ and $a \in \mathbb{R}^{s} / S_{s}$, let $\Lambda_{n}^{s}(a)$ be the subset of $t \in \operatorname{Rat}_{d}(\mathbb{C})$ such that $a \subseteq L_{n}(t)$ i.e. $f_{t}^{n}$ has a subset of fixed points counting with multiplicity, such that the set of norms of multipliers of these fixed points equals to $a$. It is a closed subset in $\operatorname{Rat}_{d}(\mathbb{C})$.

Remark 8.20. This notion generalizes the notion $\Lambda_{n}(a)$. When $s=$ $N_{n}$, we get $\Lambda_{n}(a)=\Lambda_{n}^{s}(a)$.

Pick $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{s}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{s}$ representing $a \in[0,+\infty)^{s} / S_{s}$, we have

$$
\Lambda_{n}^{s}(a)=\phi_{n}^{s}\left(\left|\lambda_{n}^{s}\right|^{-1}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{s}\right)\right) .
$$

Even though $\left|\lambda_{n}^{s}\right|$ is not real algebraic, its square $\left|\lambda_{n}^{s}\right|^{2}$ is real algebraic. So $\left|\lambda_{n}^{s}\right|^{-1}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{s}\right)=\left(\left|\lambda_{n}^{s}\right|^{2}\right)^{-1}\left(a_{1}^{2}, \ldots, a_{s}^{2}\right)$ is real algebraic. Hence $\Lambda_{n}^{s}(a)$ is semialgebraic. Moreover, if $a_{i} \neq 1$ for every $i=1, \ldots, s$,

$$
\left|\lambda_{n}^{s}\right|^{-1}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{s}\right) \subseteq\left(\lambda_{n}^{s}\right)^{-1}\left(\left(\mathbb{A}^{1} \backslash\{1\}\right)^{s}\right)
$$

So $\phi_{n}^{s}$ is étale along $\left|\lambda_{n}^{s}\right|^{-1}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{s}\right)$. This shows the following fact.
Proposition 8.21. For $a \in([0,+\infty) \backslash\{1\})^{s} / S_{s}, \Lambda_{n}^{s}(a)$ is admissible.
8.3. Length spectrum. Let $f$ be an endomorphism of $\mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C})$ of degree $d \geq 2$. Recall that the length spectrum $L(f)=\left\{L(f)_{n}, n \geq 1\right\}$ of $f$ is a sequence of finite multisets, where $L(f)_{n}:=L_{n}(f)$ is the multiset of norms of multipliers of all fixed points of $f^{n}$. In particular, $L(f)$ is a multiset of positive real numbers of cardinality $d^{n}+1$. For every $n \geq 0$, let $R L(f)_{n}$ be the sub-multiset of $L(f)_{n}$ consisting of all elements $>1$. We call $R L(f):=\left\{R L(f)_{n}, n \geq 1\right\}$ the repelling length spectrum of $f$ and $R L^{*}(f):=\left\{R L^{*}(f)_{n}:=R L(f)_{n!}, n \geq 1\right\}$ the main repelling length spectrum of $f$. We have $d^{n}+1 \geq\left|R L(f)_{n}\right| \geq d^{n}+1-M$ for some $M \geq 0$. It is clear that the difference $d^{n!}+1-\left|R L^{*}(f)_{n}\right|$ is increasing and bounded.

Let $\Omega$ be the set of sequences $A_{n}, n \geq 0$ of multisets consisting of real numbers of norm strictly larger than 1 satisfying $\left|A_{n}\right| \leq d^{n!}+1$ and for every $a \in A_{n}$ with multiplicity $m, a^{n+1} \in A_{n+1}$ with multiplicity at
least $m$. For $A, B \in \Omega$, we write $A \subseteq B$ if $A_{n} \subseteq B_{n}$ for every $n \geq 0$. An element $A=\left(A_{n}\right) \in \Omega$ is called big if $d^{n!}+1-\left|A_{n}\right|$ is bounded. For every endomorphism $f$ of $\mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C})$ of degree $d$, we have $R L^{*}(f) \in \Omega$ and it is big.

For $A \subseteq R L^{*}(f)$, by induction, we can show that there is a sequence of sub-multisets $P_{n} \subseteq \operatorname{Fix}_{n!}(f), n \geq 1$ (here we view $\operatorname{Fix}_{n!}(f)$ as a multiset of cardinal $\left.d^{n!}+1\right)$ such that $P_{n} \subseteq P_{n+1}$ and $A_{n}=\left\{\mid d f^{n!}(x) \| x \in\right.$ $\left.P_{n}\right\}$. Such $P:=\left(P_{n}\right)$ is called a realization of $A$, which may not be unique. Further assume that $A$ is big, then for every realization of $A$, $\left|\operatorname{Fix}_{n!}(f) \backslash P_{n}\right|$ is bounded. It follows that $\operatorname{Per}(f) \backslash\left(\cup_{n \geq 0} P_{n}\right)$ is finite.

Let $A \in \Omega$. Define $\Lambda(A):=\cap_{n \geq 1} \Lambda_{n!}^{\left|A_{n}\right|}\left(A_{n}\right)$, which is the locus of $t \in \operatorname{Rat}_{d}$ satisfying $A \subseteq R L^{*}\left(f_{t}\right)$. It is clear that $\Lambda_{n!}^{\left|A_{n}\right|}\left(A_{n}\right), n \geq 1$ is decreasing, and by Proposition 8.21, each of them is admissible. Hence by Theorem 8.17 we get the following result.

Proposition 8.22. There is $N(A) \geq 0$ such that

$$
\Lambda(A)=\Lambda_{N(A)!}^{\left|A_{N(A)}\right|}\left(A_{N(A)}\right)
$$

which is admissible.
Let $\gamma \simeq[0,1]$ be a real analytic curve in $\operatorname{Rat}_{d}(\mathbb{C})$, we view $\gamma \times \mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C})$ as a subset of $\operatorname{Rat}_{d}(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C})$. Let $f_{\gamma}$ be the restriction of $f_{\text {Rat }_{d}(\mathbb{C})}$ to $\gamma \times \mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C})$. For every $n$-periodic point $x=(t, y) \in \gamma \times \mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C})$, let $\gamma_{x}^{n}$ be the connected component of

$$
\left(\gamma \times \mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C})\right) \cap \operatorname{Rat}_{d}(\mathbb{C})[n]=\phi_{n}^{-1}(\gamma)
$$

containing $x$.
Remark 8.23. If $x$ is repelling for $f_{t}$, then $\phi_{n}$ is étale at $(t, x)$, hence it induces an isomorphism from some neighborhood of $(x, t)$ in $\gamma_{x}^{n}$ to its image in $\gamma$.

Moreover, if $\left|\lambda_{n}\right|\left(\gamma_{x}^{n}\right) \subseteq(1,+\infty)$, then $\phi_{n}$ is étale along $\gamma_{x}^{n}$, in particular $\left.\phi_{n}\right|_{\gamma_{x}^{n}}: \gamma_{x}^{n} \rightarrow \gamma$ is a covering map. Since $\gamma$ is simply connected, $\left.\phi_{n}\right|_{\gamma_{x}^{n}}: \gamma_{x}^{n} \rightarrow \gamma$ is an isomorphism. If $n \mid m$, then $\gamma_{x}^{n} \subseteq \gamma_{x}^{m}$. On the other hand, for every $(u, y) \in \gamma_{x}^{n}$, the multiplicity of $y$ in $\operatorname{Fix}\left(f_{u}^{m}\right)$ is 1 . So $\gamma_{x}^{m}$ coincide with $\gamma_{x}^{n}$ in a neighborhood of $y$. Hence $\gamma_{x}^{m}=\gamma_{x}^{n}$. This implies that every $y \in \gamma_{x}$ has the same minimal period and for every period $l$ of $y, \gamma_{y}^{l}=\gamma_{x}^{n}$.

Lemma 8.24. Fix $A \in \Omega$. Assume that for every $t \in \gamma, A \subseteq R L^{*}\left(f_{t}\right)$. Then there is a realization $P$ of $A$ for $f_{0}$, such that the following holds:
(i) For every $x \in \cup_{n \geq 0} P_{n}, \gamma_{(0, x)}^{m}$ does not depend on the choice of period $m$ of $x$. We denote by $\gamma_{x}=\gamma_{(0, x)}^{m}$ for some (then every) period $m$ of $x$. Then $\left.\phi_{m}\right|_{\gamma_{x}}: \gamma_{x} \rightarrow \gamma$ is a homeomorphism and it is étale along $\gamma_{x}$. In particular, for different points $x, \gamma_{x}$ are disjoint.
(ii) For every $x \in \cup_{n \geq 0} P_{n}$, with a period $m,\left|\lambda_{m}\right|$ is a constant on $\gamma_{x}$.

Proof. For every $n \geq 1$, let $B_{n}$ be the subset of $\operatorname{Fix}\left(f_{0}^{n}\right)$ such that $\left|\lambda_{n}\right|$ is a constant $>1$ on $\gamma_{(0, x)}^{n}$. If $x \in B_{n}$ for some $n \geq 1$, by Remark 8.23, $x \in B_{m}$ for every period $m$ of $x$ and $\gamma_{x}:=\gamma_{(0, x)}^{m}$ does not depend on the choice of period $m$. Moreover, $\left.\phi_{m}\right|_{\gamma_{x}}: \gamma_{x} \rightarrow \gamma$ is a homeomorphism and it is étale along $\gamma_{x}$. In particular for for different points $x, \gamma_{x}$ are disjoint.

It is clear that $B=\left(B_{n!}\right)$ realize an element $C \in \Omega$. We only need to show that $A \subseteq C$. Let $a$ be an element in $A_{n}$ of multiplicity $l \geq 1$. Then for every $t \in \gamma$, since $|a|>1,\left|\lambda_{n!}\right|^{-1}(a) \cap \phi_{n!}^{-1}(t)$ contains at least $l$ distinct points. Let $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{s}$ be the elements in $x \in B_{n!}$ with $\lambda_{n!}((0, x))=a$. We only need to show that $s \geq l$. For every $i=1, \ldots, s$, $\gamma_{x_{i}}$ is a connected component of $\phi_{n!}^{-1}(\gamma)$. Set $Z:=\phi_{n!}^{-1}(\gamma) \backslash \cup_{i=1}^{s} \gamma_{x_{i}}$. If $s<l$, then for every $t \in \gamma, Z \cap\left|\lambda_{n!}\right|^{-1}(a) \cap \phi_{n!}^{-1}(t)$ has at least one point. So there is $y \in Z$ such that $\gamma_{z}^{n!} \cap\left|\lambda_{n!}\right|^{-1}(a)$ is infinite. Since both $\gamma_{z}^{n!}$ and $\left|\lambda_{n!}\right|^{-1}(a)$ are real analytic in $\gamma \times \mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C}), \gamma_{z}^{n!} \subseteq\left|\lambda_{n!}\right|^{-1}(a)$. By Remark 8.23, $\gamma_{z}^{n!}$ meets $\phi_{n!}^{-1}(0)$ at some point $(0, x)$ for some $x \in B_{n}$. So $\gamma_{z}^{n!}=\gamma_{x}$, which is a contradiction.
8.4. Length spectrum as moduli. Let $\Psi: \operatorname{Rat}_{d}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{d}(\mathbb{C})=$ $\operatorname{Rat}_{d}(\mathbb{C}) / \mathrm{PGL}_{2}(\mathbb{C})$ be the quotient map. Let $F L_{d}(\mathbb{C}) \subseteq \operatorname{Rat}_{d}(\mathbb{C})$ be the locus of Lattès maps, which is Zariski closed in $\operatorname{Rat}_{d}(\mathbb{C})$. We now prove Theorem 1.5 via proving the following stronger statement.

Theorem 8.25. If $A \in \Omega$ is big, then $\Phi\left(\Lambda(A) \backslash F L_{d}(\mathbb{C})\right) \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{d}$ is finite.

Proof. By Proposition 8.22, $\Lambda(A)$ is admissible in $\operatorname{Rat}_{d}(\mathbb{C})$. Hence $\Lambda(A) \backslash F L_{d}(\mathbb{C})$ is admissible in $\operatorname{Rat}_{d}(\mathbb{C}) \backslash F L_{d}(\mathbb{C})$. In particular, $\Lambda(A) \backslash$ $F L_{d}(\mathbb{C})$ and $\Phi\left(\Lambda(A) \backslash F L_{d}(\mathbb{C})\right)$ are semialgebraic.

To get a contradiction, assume that $\Phi\left(\Lambda(A) \backslash F L_{d}(\mathbb{C})\right)$ is not finite. By Nash Curve Selection Lemma [BCR98, Proposition 8.1.13], there is a real analytic curve $\gamma \simeq[0,1]$ in $\Lambda(A) \backslash F L_{d}(\mathbb{C})$ whose image in $\mathcal{M}_{d}$ is not a point. Since non-flexible Lattès exceptional endomorphisms are isolated in the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{d}$, there is at least one $f_{t}$ that is not exceptional. Without loss of generality we assume $f_{0}$ is not exceptional.

We now apply Lemma 8.24 for $\gamma$ and $A$, and follows the notation there. Set $Q:=\cup_{n \geq 0} P_{n}$. Then $S:=\operatorname{Per}\left(f_{0}\right) \backslash Q$ is finite.

Pick any $z_{0} \in Q$. By the discussion in Example 7.4, there exists a horseshoe $K$ of $f_{0}$ containing $z_{0}$ and $K \cap S=\emptyset$. There is $m \geq 0$ such that $f_{0}^{m}(K)=K$ and $f_{0}^{m}\left(z_{0}\right)=z_{0}$. By Lemma 6.1, there exits $\varepsilon>0$ and a continuous map $h:[0, \varepsilon] \times K \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C})$ such that for each $t \in[0, \varepsilon]:$
(i) $K_{t}:=h(t, K)$ is an expanding set of $f_{t}^{m}$.
(ii) the map $h_{t}:=h(t, \cdot): K \rightarrow K_{t}$ is a homeomorphism and $f_{t}^{m} \circ$ $h_{t}=h_{t} \circ f_{0}^{m}$.
For every $t \in[0, \varepsilon]$ and for every $w_{0} \in K$ satisfying $f_{0}^{n m}\left(w_{0}\right)=w_{0}$, we have $f_{t}^{n m}\left(h_{t}\left(w_{0}\right)\right)=h_{t}\left(w_{0}\right)$. It follows that $h_{t}\left(w_{0}\right)=\gamma_{w_{0}}(t)$. Since $\left|\lambda_{n m}\right|$ is a constant on $\gamma_{w_{0}}$, we get $\left|d f_{0}^{n m}\left(w_{0}\right)\right|=\left|d f_{t}^{m n}\left(h_{t}\left(w_{0}\right)\right)\right|$. We claim that $K_{t}$ is a CER of $f_{t}$. We check $\left(f_{t}, K_{t}\right)$ satisfies Definition 7.1: since $K_{t}$ is expanding by Lemma 6.1, (ii) holds; since topological exactness and openness preserved by topological conjugacy, by Remark 7.2), (i) and (iii) hold.

Since $f_{0}$ is not exceptional, by Theorem 1.1, $K$ is a non-linear CER for $f_{0}$. By Theorem 7.7, for every fixed $t \in[0, \varepsilon]$, the conjugacy $h_{t}$ can be extended to a conformal map $h_{t}: U \rightarrow V$ where $U$ is a neighborhood of $K$ and $V$ is a neighborhood of $K_{t}$. This implies $d f_{0}^{m}\left(z_{0}\right)=$ $d f_{t}^{m}\left(\gamma_{z_{0}}(t)\right)\left(=d f_{t}^{m}\left(h_{t}\left(z_{0}\right)\right)\right)$ or $d f_{0}^{m}\left(z_{0}\right)=\overline{d f_{t}^{m}\left(\gamma_{z_{0}}(t)\right)}$. Since $d f_{t}^{m}\left(\gamma_{z_{0}}(t)\right)$ depends continuously on $t$, we must have $d f_{0}^{m}\left(z_{0}\right)=d f_{t}^{m}\left(\gamma_{z_{0}}(t)\right)$ when $t \in[0, \varepsilon]$. Since $\gamma_{z_{0}}$ is real analytic, the map $t \mapsto d f_{t}^{m}\left(\gamma_{z_{0}}(t)\right)$ is real analytic on $\gamma=[0,1]$. It is a constant on $[0, \varepsilon]$, hence it is a constant on $\gamma$. Let $n$ be any period of $z_{0}$, the above argument shows that $\left(\left.\lambda_{n}\right|_{\gamma_{z_{0}}}\right)^{m}$ is a constant, hence $\left.\lambda_{n}\right|_{\gamma_{z_{0}}}$ is a constant.

Since our choice of $z_{0} \in Q$ is arbitrary, for every $z_{0} \in Q$, of period $n$, the map $t \mapsto d f_{t}^{n}(\phi(t))$ is a constant on $[0,1]$. Since $S$ is finite, all $f_{t}$ have the same multiplier spectrum for periodic points of sufficiently high period.

The set of all endomorphisms in $\operatorname{Rat}_{d}(\mathbb{C})$ with the same multiplier spectrum of $f_{0}$ for periodic points with period at least $N \geq 1$ is an algebraic variety. We denote it by $V_{N}$. There exists $N \geq 1$ such that $\gamma \subseteq V_{N}$. Further more there exists an irreducible component $X$ of $V_{N}$ which contains $\gamma$. The irreducible variety $X$ forms a stable family (see [McM16, Chapter 4]), since the period of attracting cycles are bounded in $V_{N}$. The variety $X$ is not isotrivial since $\Psi(\gamma)$ is not a point. By Theorem 1.2, $\gamma \subseteq X$ is contained in the flexible Lattès family, which is a contradiction.

## References

[Aut01] Pascal Autissier. Points entiers sur les surfaces arithmétiques. J. Reine Angew. Math., 531:201-235, 2001.
[BCR98] Jacek Bochnak, Michel Coste, and Marie-Françoise Roy. Real algebraic geometry, volume 36 of Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)]. SpringerVerlag, Berlin, 1998. Translated from the 1987 French original, Revised by the authors.
[BDSKL20] Péter Bálint, Jacopo De Simoi, Vadim Kaloshin, and Martin Leguil. Marked length spectrum, homoclinic orbits and the geometry of open dispersing billiards. Comm. Math. Phys., 374(3):1531-1575, 2020.
[Ben] Robert L. Benedetto. Dynamics in one non-archimedean variable, volume 198 of Graduate Studies in Mathematics. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI.
[Ber90] Vladimir G. Berkovich. Spectral theory and analytic geometry over non-Archimedean fields. Number 33 in Mathematical Surveys and Monographs. American Mathematical Society, 1990.
[BIJL14] Robert Benedetto, Patrick Ingram, Rafe Jones, and Alon Levy. Attracting cycles in $p$-adic dynamics and height bounds for postcritically finite maps. Duke Math. J., 163(13):2325-2356, 2014.
[BK85] K. Burns and A. Katok. Manifolds with nonpositive curvature. Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems, 5(2):307-317, 1985.
[BLR90] Siegfried Bosch, Werner Lütkebohmert, and Michel Raynaud. Néron models, volume 21 of Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)]. SpringerVerlag, Berlin, 1990.
[BR10] Matthew Baker and Robert S Rumely. Potential theory and dynamics on the Berkovich projective line. Number 159. American Mathematical Soc., 2010.
[Cro90] Christopher B. Croke. Rigidity for surfaces of nonpositive curvature. Comment. Math. Helv., 65(1):150-169, 1990.
[DeM03] Laura DeMarco. Dynamics of rational maps: Lyapunov exponents, bifurcations, and capacity. Math. Ann., 326(1):43-73, 2003.
[DF19] Romain Dujardin and Charles Favre. Degenerations of SL(2, © $)$ representations and Lyapunov exponents. Ann. H. Lebesgue, 2:515-565, 2019.
[DH93] Adrien Douady and John H. Hubbard. A proof of Thurston's topological characterization of rational functions. Acta Math., 171(2):263-297, 1993.
[DSKL19] Jacopo De Simoi, Vadim Kaloshin, and Martin Leguil. Marked Length Spectral determination of analytic chaotic billiards with axial symmetries. arXiv:1905.00890, 2019.
[EVS11] Alexandre Eremenko and Sebastian Van Strien. Rational maps with real multipliers. Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, 363(12):6453-6463, 2011.
[Fav20] Charles Favre. Degeneration of endomorphisms of the complex projective space in the hybrid space. J. Inst. Math. Jussieu, 19(4):1141-1183, 2020.
[FRL] Charles Favre and Juan Rivera-Letelier. Unpublished manuscript.
[FRL10] Charles Favre and Juan Rivera-Letelier. Théorie ergodique des fractions rationnelles sur un corps ultramétrique. Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3), 100(1):116-154, 2010.
[GL19] Colin Guillarmou and Thibault Lefeuvre. The marked length spectrum of Anosov manifolds. Ann. of Math. (2), 190(1):321-344, 2019.
[GPR10] Katrin Gelfert, Feliks Przytycki, and Michat Rams. On the lyapunov spectrum for rational maps. Mathematische Annalen, 4(348):965-1004, 2010.
[GPRRL13] Katrin Gelfert, Feliks Przytycki, Michał Rams, and Juan RiveraLetelier. Lyapunov spectrum for exceptional rational maps. Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math, 38(2):631-656, 2013.
[HKS18] Guan Huang, Vadim Kaloshin, and Alfonso Sorrentino. On the marked length spectrum of generic strictly convex billiard tables. Duke Math. J., 167(1):175-209, 2018.
[Hug21] Valentin Huguin. Unicritical polynomial maps with rational multipliers. Conform. Geom. Dyn., 25:79-87, 2021.
[Hug22a] Valentin Huguin. Quadratic rational maps with integer multipliers. Mathematische Zeitschrift, 2022.
[Hug22b] Valentin Huguin. Rational maps with rational multipliers. arXiv:2210.17521, 2022.
[Jon98] Mattias Jonsson. Holomorphic motions of hyperbolic sets. Michigan Mathematical Journal, 45(2):409-415, 1998.
[Jon15] Mattias Jonsson. Dynamics on Berkovich spaces in low dimensions. In J. Nicaise A. Ducros, C. Favre, editor, Berkovich spaces and applications, volume 2119 of Lecture Notes in Mathematics, pages 205-366. Springer, 2015.
[Ked11] Kiran S. Kedlaya. Semistable reduction for overconvergent $F$ isocrystals, IV: local semistable reduction at nonmonomial valuations. Compos. Math., 147(2):467-523, 2011.
[Kiw15] Jan Kiwi. Rescaling limits of complex rational maps. Duke Mathematical Journal, 164(7):1437-1470, 2015.
[Liv72] AN Livšic. Cohomology of dynamical systems. Mathematics of the USSR-Izvestiya, 6(6):1278, 1972.
[LS06] Simin Li and Weixiao Shen. Smooth conjugacy between S-unimodal maps. Nonlinearity, 19(7):1629, 2006.
[Luoar] Yusheng Luo. Trees, length spectra for rational maps via barycentric extensions and Berkovich spaces. Duke Mathematical Journal, to appear.
[McM87] Curt McMullen. Families of rational maps and iterative root-finding algorithms. Ann. of Math. (2), 125(3):467-493, 1987.
[McM16] Curtis T McMullen. Complex dynamics and renormalization (am-135), volume 135. In Complex Dynamics and Renormalization (AM-135), Volume 135. Princeton University Press, 2016.
[MdM99] Marco Martens and Welington de Melo. The multipliers of periodic points in one-dimensional dynamics. Nonlinearity, 12(2):217, 1999.
[MF82] David Mumford and John Fogarty. Geometric invariant theory, volume 34 of Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete [Results
in Mathematics and Related Areas]. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, second edition, 1982.
[Mil93] John Milnor. Geometry and dynamics of quadratic rational maps. Experiment. Math., 2(1):37-83, 1993. With an appendix by the author and Lei Tan.
[Mil06] John Milnor. On lattès maps. Dynamics on the Riemann Sphere: A Bodil Branner Festschrift, page 9, 2006.
[Mil11] John Milnor. Dynamics in One Complex Variable.(AM-160):(AM-160)-, volume 160. Princeton University Press, 2011.
[Oku15] Yûsuke Okuyama. Quantitative approximations of the Lyapunov exponent of a rational function over valued fields. Math. Z., 280(3-4):691706, 2015.
[Ota90] Jean-Pierre Otal. Le spectre marqué des longueurs des surfaces à courbure négative. Ann. of Math. (2), 131(1):151-162, 1990.
[Poo17] Bjorn Poonen. Rational points on varieties, volume 186 of Graduate Studies in Mathematics. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2017.
[PU99] Feliks Przytycki and Mariusz Urbański. Rigidity of tame rational functions. Bulletin of the Polish Academy of Sciences. Mathematics, 47(2):163-181, 1999.
[PU10] Feliks Przytycki and Mariusz Urbański. Conformal fractals: ergodic theory methods, volume 371. Cambridge University Press, 2010.
[PUZ89] Feliks Przytycki, Mariusz Urbański, and Anna Zdunik. Harmonic, gibbs and hausdorff measures on repellers for holomorphic maps, i. Annals of Mathematics, 130(1):1-40, 1989.
[Ree84] Mary Rees. Ergodic rational maps with dense critical point forward orbit. Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems, 4(2):311-322, 1984.
[Rit22] Joseph Fels Ritt. Periodic functions with a multiplication theorem. Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, 23(1):16-25, 1922.
[RL03a] Juan Rivera-Letelier. Dynamique des fonctions rationnelles sur des corps locaux. Number 287, pages xv, 147-230. 2003. Geometric methods in dynamics. II.
[RL03b] Juan Rivera-Letelier. Espace hyperbolique p-adique et dynamique des fonctions rationnelles. Compositio Math., 138(2):199-231, 2003.
[Sil07] Joseph H. Silverman. The arithmetic of dynamical systems, volume 241 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2007.
[Sil12] Joseph H. Silverman. Moduli spaces and arithmetic dynamics, volume 30 of CRM Monograph Series. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2012.
[SS85] Michael Shub and Dennis Sullivan. Expanding endomorphisms of the circle revisited. Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems, 5(2):285-289, 1985.
[Ste19] Matthew Stevenson. A non-Archimedean Ohsawa-Takegoshi extension theorem. Math. Z., 291(1-2):279-302, 2019.
[Sul86] Dennis Sullivan. Quasiconformal homeomorphisms in dynamics, topology, and geometry. In Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, volume 1, page 2, 1986.
[Zdu90] Anna Zdunik. Parabolic orbifolds and the dimension of the maximal measure for rational maps. Inventiones mathematicae, 99(1):627-649, 1990.
[Zdu14] Anna Zdunik. Characteristic exponents of rational functions. Bulletin of the Polish Academy of Sciences. Mathematics, 62(3), 2014.

Institute for Theoretical Sciences, Westlake University, Hangzhou 310030, China

E-mail address: jizhuchao@westlake.edu.cn
Beijing International Center for Mathematical Research, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China

E-mail address: xiejunyi@bicmr.pku.edu.cn


[^0]:    Date: December 12, 2022.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Via the symmetric polynomials, we have $\mathbb{C}^{N_{n}} / S_{N_{n}} \simeq \mathbb{C}^{N_{n}}$.
    ${ }^{2}$ Stability has several equivalent definitions and can be defined for more general families [McM16, Chapter 4].

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ This terminology was introduced by Milnor [Mil11] in his presentation of Julia's proof that repelling periodic points are dense in the Julia set. The word "homoclinic orbit" dates back to Poincaré.

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ A multiset is a set except allowing multiple instances for each of its elements. The number of the instances of an element is called the multiplicity. For example: $\{a, a, b, c, c, c\}$ is a multiset of cardinality 6 , the multiplicities for $a, b, c$ are $2,1,3$.

[^4]:    ${ }^{5}$ In our example, we will take $d=2$ and $n=1$.

